

## UPDATES FOR YOUR Advanced Course

His Eminence Raymond Leo Cardinal Burke has completed a partial review of the *Advanced Catholic Catechism Course*. Please make the following changes in your *Advanced Course Manual*, *Workbook* and *Answer Tablet*. If you are Group Leader, make the changes to your answer book too.

- Included here are: NEW test pages for lessons **3, 7, 13, 16, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 36**; and the new article on the **Sacrament of Matrimony for lesson 24**.
- Additional recommended readings for various lessons are on our website: **[MarianCatechist.com](http://MarianCatechist.com)** under Resources/Course Assistance.

**ADVANCED COURSE MANUAL**

**p. 2, paragraph 5:** change “Catholic Catechism” to “Advanced Catholic Catechism Course”.

**p. 4, paragraph 4:** change “Workbook for the Catholic Catechism Course” to “Workbook for the Advanced Catholic Catechism Course”.

**p. 4, paragraph 5:** change “Catechist’s” to “Advanced Catholic Catechism”.

**p. 9, paragraph 6:** change “teach (catechize)” to “make disciples of,” in the Scripture verse, “Go therefore and ~~teach (catechize)~~ all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and ..... If necessary, change the Scripture citation from *Matthew 28:19* to *Matthew 28:19-20*.

The Scripture verse should read:

“Go therefore and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit, teaching them to observe all that I have commanded you” (*Matthew 28:19-20*).

**p. 16, paragraph 3** (and possibly elsewhere): replace *Catechisi Tradendae* with *Catechesi Tradendae*.

**p. 67, paragraph 2:** The book of the Bible should be “Ecclesiasticus” (a.k.a. “Sirach”), not “Ecclesiastics.”

**p. 76, 1<sup>st</sup> complete paragraph:** replace **Pope Leo XII** with **Pope Leo XIII**.

**p. 111, paragraph 3, line 18:** delete “sponsors or”

**p. 111, paragraph 3, line 19:** replace “Sponsors” with “Godparents”

**pp. 180-181,** insert the following underlined words and delete the crossed out words. It should read:

1. **The highest form of prayer is adoration.**
2. **The highest form of adoration is sacrifice.**

**Prayer.** The highest form of prayer is adoration ~~adoration is prayer~~. Again, with the coming of Christ, our prayer of honor and praise of God can be addressed to the Redeemer, who is our God. Our prayer of gratitude for blessings received, of mercy for pardon because we have offended the Divine Majesty, and of petition in asking for everything we need—all can be directed to Jesus Christ...

**Sacrifice.** The highest form of adoration ~~prayer~~ is sacrifice. Why? Because when we sacrifice we not only address God in word and thought, but we offer up to Him something that is precious to us to acknowledge Him as our First and Final Cause. With the coming of Christ, sacrifice reached a sublimity that was never seen before. We believe that God became man in order that, as man, He might be able to sacrifice Himself to the heavenly Father for the redemption of the world...

**If you have the Pocket Catholic Catechism, update p. 228.** Insert the following underlined words:

**Sacrifice.** The highest form of the prayer of adoration is sacrifice. This is the willing surrender of something precious to God. As with adoration, there are two basic forms of sacrifice. There is the sacrifice of submission. Here we give up some creature that we like in order to express our total dependence on God’s will. There is also the sacrifice of love. Here we surrender something pleasant in order to please the God whom we love.

**ADVANCE COURSE WORKBOOK, ANSWER TABLET (and in the ANSWER BOOK if you are a Group Leader)**

**Table of Contents, p. 1: “XII. The Holy Spirit”**

In some editions, the number “95” immediately follows the lesson’s heading. If it has been inserted, delete it.

**Lesson 1, Question 2**

Change the words “religious education” to “evangelization and catechesis” and add “the four Gospel writers and” before “St. Paul.”

**Original Question:**

We may say that Catholic religious education by correspondence began with St. Paul.

**Revised Question:**

We may say that Catholic evangelization and catechesis by correspondence began with the four Gospel writers and St. Paul.

**Lesson 1, Question 5**

Add the word “academic” before “study” if it is not there.

**Question should read,** “For most people, the best way to learn their Catholic faith is through academic study.”

**Lesson 1, Question 7**

If the phrase “not to practice it” has been added to the end of this question, delete it.

**Question should read,** “Our basic duty as Catholics is understanding what we believe.”

**Lesson 1, Question 10**

Change the word “faith” to the words, “the Creed.”

**Original Question:** There are four basic parts to the Catholic religion, namely faith, the Sacraments, the Commandments and prayer.

**Revised Question:** There are four basic parts to the Catholic religion, namely the Creed, the Sacraments, the Commandments and prayer.

**Lesson 1, Question 11**

Change the words “replaces the” to the words, “functions as a.”

**Original Question:** In home study, the manual replaces the textbook.

**Revised Question:** In home study, the manual functions as a textbook.

**Lesson 1, Question 22**

Add the word “alone” after “parents” if it is not there.

**Question should read,** “The duty to instruct children in their faith belongs to the parents alone and not to the Bishops.”

**Lesson 1, Question 35 (left-hand column)**

Change the word “Sponsors” to “Godparents.”

**Original Question:** Sponsors at Baptism *matches* ....

**Revised Question:** Godparents at Baptism *matches* ....

**Lesson 2, Question 4**

Change the word “teach” to the word “catechize,” if necessary.

**Original Question:** Christ told the Apostles to teach all nations.

**Revised Question:** Christ told the Apostles to catechize all nations.

**Lesson 2, Question 40**

Change the words “we will have in believing” to the phrase, “God will allow which test our belief.”

**Original Question:** The deeper our faith, the fewer difficulties we will have in believing.

**Revised Question:** The deeper our faith, the fewer difficulties God will allow which test our belief.

**Lesson 3, Question 23**

Delete the word “humanly” if it has been inserted in the question.

**Question should read,** “We can believe without the help of God’s grace.”

**Lesson 4, Questions 32 (left-hand column) and 34 (right-hand column)**

If the phrase “of the Christian faith” has been added to #34 in the right-hand column, delete it, and add it to the end of #32 in the left-hand column.

**Question #32** (left-hand column) should read, “The most common profession of the Christian faith”

**Question #34** (right-hand column) should read, “Endurance of the *Apostles’ Creed*”

**Lesson 5, Question 24**

Change the words “had to reveal” to the word “revealed.”

**Original Question:** God had to reveal creation so that everyone could know how the world was made.

**Revised Question:** God revealed creation so that everyone could know how the world was made.

**Lesson 5, Question 32**

Remove the word “creatures” at the end of choice #3.

**Original Question:** Creatures are changeable because they 1) are creatures, 2) can change, 3) are finite creatures.

**Revised Question:** Creatures are changeable because they 1) are creatures, 2) can change, 3) are finite.

**Lesson 5, Question 83**

If the words “her soul” have been omitted from the end of this statement, add them to the statement. (Note that, in some editions, the numbers are out of order.)

**Question should read,** “The Blessed Virgin did not inherit Original Sin. She was conceived with no sin on her soul.”

**Lesson 5, Questions 87 and 88**

In some editions of the Workbook, the second sentence in statement #87 has been deleted (“They come from sin and lead us to sin”). In these versions, #88 reads, “They come from sin and lead us to sin.” In addition, the correct #88 (see below) has, in some cases, been inserted between #82 and #83 as follows:

**82. Baptism removes both the guilt and all the effects of Original Sin.**

**~~88. We are certain that all of us inherited Original Sin from Adam.~~** Delete, if necessary.

**83. The Blessed Virgin did not inherit Original Sin. She was conceived with no sin on her soul.**

84. ... ok as is

85. ... ok as is

86. ... ok as is

**87. Our unruly drives, or concupiscence, are not really sins. They come from sin and lead us to sin.**

**~~88. They come from sin and lead us to sin.~~** Delete, if necessary, and add to statement #87.

**88. We are certain that all of us inherited Original Sin from Adam.**

**Question #87** should read, “Our unruly drives, or concupiscence, are not really sins. They come from sin and lead us to sin.”

**Question #88** should read, “We are certain that all of us inherited Original Sin from Adam.”

**Lesson 6, Question 26**

Change the words “human being” to “man.”

**Original Question:** As a human being, Christ had to learn whatever He knew.

**Revised Question:** As a man, Christ had to learn whatever He knew.

**Lesson 6, Question 62**

Add the word “Christ’s” to the beginning of the statement.

**Original Question:** Human nature *matches* ....

**Revised Question:** Christ’s human nature *matches* ....

**Lesson 6, Question 63**

Add the word “Christ’s” to the beginning of the statement.

**Original Question:** Divine nature *matches* ....

**Revised Question:** Christ’s divine nature *matches* ....

**Lesson 6, Question 83 (right-hand column)**

Revise the question as given below.

**Original Question** #83 (right-hand column) reads “Gospel of St. Luke.”

**Revised Question:** #83 should read, “as your heavenly Father is perfect”

**Lesson 6, Questions 88 (left-hand column)**

Revise the question as given below.

**Original Question:** #88(left-hand column) reads “Every one shall be perfect if he be like his Master.”

**Revised Question:** #88 should read, “You, therefore, must be perfect.”

**Lesson 7, Question 3**

Delete the words, “~~love God with our whole heart~~” and replace them with, “love the Lord your God with all your heart.”

**Original Question:** 7-3. Mary perfectly fulfilled the precept to love God with our whole heart.

**Revised Question:** 7-3. Mary perfectly fulfilled the precept to “love the Lord your God with all your heart.”

**Lesson 7, Numbers 51 and 52 (right-hand column)**

In some editions, #51 and #52 in the right-hand column both read: “Source of Divine Revelation.”

**Question #51** should read, “Adoration due only to God.”

**Question #52** should read, “Source of Divine Revelation.”

**Lesson 7, Question 54 (left-hand column) and Number 55 (right-hand column)**

In some versions, the phrase “due to God” follows “#55) January 1” in the right-hand column. The phrase is supposed to be at the end of #54 in the left-hand column. Delete “due to God” where it has been attached to “January 1” in the right-hand column, and add it to “Does not detract from glory” in the left-hand column.

**Question #55** (right-hand column) should read, “January 1.”

**Question #54** (left-hand column) should read, “Does not detract from glory due to God.”

**Lesson 7, Question 68**

Change the words in #2 “did not die” to the word, “died.”

**Original Question:** The Blessed Virgin 1) did not die, 2) most probably ~~did not die~~, 3) died.

**Revised Question:** The Blessed Virgin 1) did not die, 2) most probably died, 3) died.

**Lesson 8, Question 41(right-hand column)**

Add the words “Eternity of” to #41 in the right-hand column.

**Original Question** #41 (right-hand column) reads “Hell.”

**Revised Question:** #41 should read, “Eternity of Hell”

**Lesson 9, Question 1**

Add the phrase, “His Death by” before the word “Crucifixion” and “His” before “Resurrection.”

**Original Question:** The soul of Christ lived separate from His body between ~~the~~ Crucifixion and ~~the~~ Resurrection.

**Revised Question:** The soul of Christ lived separate from His body between His Death by Crucifixion and His Resurrection.

**Lesson 9, Question 10**

In some editions, the phrase “predicted by the Old Testament prophets” has been replaced with “to take the souls of the just to Heaven.” If necessary, replace “to take the souls of the just to Heaven” with “predicted by the Old Testament prophets.”

**Question #10** should read, “Christ’s ‘descent into Hell’ was predicted by the Old Testament prophets.”

**Lesson 9, Heading for Section III. Match the terms in the following columns (Jerusalem Bible).**

In the heading for Section III, delete the words in parentheses, “Jerusalem Bible.”

**Should read:** III. Match the terms in the following columns.

**Lesson 9, Question 43**

Add the phrase “in His human nature,” before the phrase “is present everywhere.”

**Original Question:** Since Christ is God, and God is everywhere, Christ is present everywhere and not only in the Eucharist.

**Revised Question:** Since Christ is God, and God is everywhere, Christ in His human nature, is present everywhere and not only in the Eucharist.

**Lesson 13, Number 159**

Delete the words “very” and “like once in a century.”

**Original Question:** 13-159. The Pope ~~very~~ seldom—~~like once in a century~~—teaches ex cathedra.

**Revised Question:** 13-159. The Pope seldom teaches ex cathedra.

**Lesson 14, Question 7**

Change the words “~~Forgiveness means remission of~~” to “The Church has the power to forgive.”

**Original Question:** ~~Forgiveness means remission of~~ the penalty due for sins committed.

**Revised Question:** The Church has the power to forgive the penalty due for sins committed.

**Lesson 15, Question 19**

Add the word, “glorified” after “risen.”

**Original Question:** 15-19. Our risen bodies will still be able to suffer pain.

**Revised Question:** 15-19. Our risen glorified bodies will still be able to suffer pain.

**Lesson 18, Question 2**

Add “We know that” to the beginning of the statement.

**Original Question:** Baptism was instituted after Christ’s Resurrection.

**Revised Question:** We know that Baptism was instituted after Christ’s Resurrection.

**Lesson 18, Question 14**

Change the word “baptize” to “confer the Sacrament of Baptism on the same person.”

**Original Question:** Several persons cannot baptize at the same time.

**Revised Question:** Several persons cannot confer the Sacrament of Baptism on the same person at the same time.

**Lesson 18, Question 15**

Change the word “Sponsors” to the word “Godparents.”

**Original Question:** Sponsors at Baptism are gravely obliged to insure the Catholic upbringing of their Godchildren.

**Revised Question:** Godparents at Baptism are gravely obliged to insure the Catholic upbringing of their Godchildren.

**Lesson 18, Question 38**

Add the word “children” after the word “Unbaptized.”

**Original Question:** Unbaptized *matches* ....

**Revised Question:** Unbaptized children *matches* ....

**Lesson 18, Question 42**

Delete the words “as children” from the end of the statement.

Change the phrase “Mentally retarded adults” to “Children with special needs”

**Original Question:** Mentally retarded adults should be baptized as children.

**Revised Question:** Children with special needs should be baptized.

**Lesson 18, Question 55**

Change the word “sponsor” to the word “Godparent.”

**Original Question:** The father or mother of a person may be the sponsor at Baptism.

**Revised Question:** The father or mother of a person may be the Godparent at Baptism.

**Lesson 18, Question 56**

Change the word “sponsor” to the word “Godparent.”

**Original Question:** A sponsor at Baptism should 1) be in his sixteenth year, 2) have completed his sixteenth year, 3) have completed his fourteenth year.

**Revised Question:** A Godparent at Baptism should 1) be in his sixteenth year, 2) have completed his sixteenth year, 3) have completed his fourteenth year.

**Lesson 18, Question 57**

Change the word “sponsor” to the word “Godparent.”

**Original Question:** A sponsor must be 1) a practicing Catholic, 2) at least a baptized person, 3) at least a nominal Catholic.

**Revised Question:** A Godparent must be 1) a practicing Catholic, 2) at least a baptized person, 3) at least a nominal Catholic.

**Lesson 18, Question 58**

Add the words, “apart from an emergency Baptism,” after the words, “For every Baptism.” In two places, change “sponsor” to “Godparent.”

**Original Question:** ~~For every Baptism there must be a 1) sponsor, 2) a witness, 3) a witness and sponsor.~~

**Revised Question:** For every Baptism, apart from an emergency Baptism, there must be a 1) Godparent, 2) a witness, 3) a witness and Godparent.

**Lesson 19, Question 5**

Delete the word “Only,” and change the words “allowed for licit” to “necessary for the valid.”

**Original Question:** Only chrism is allowed for licit conferral of Confirmation.

**Revised Question:** Chrism is necessary for the valid conferral of Confirmation.

**Lesson 19, Question 12**

Change the second use of the word “sponsors” to the word “Godparents.”

**Original Question:** It is desirable that sponsors at Confirmation should be the sponsors at Baptism.

**Revised Question:** It is desirable that sponsors at Confirmation should be the Godparents at Baptism.

**Lesson 19, Question 37**

Add the words “upon a member of the Catholic Church, unless that person is in danger of death” to the end of the statement.

**Original Question:** A priest, without appropriate faculties, cannot validly confer the Sacrament of Confirmation.

**Revised Question:** A priest, without appropriate faculties, cannot validly confer the Sacrament of Confirmation upon a member of the Catholic Church, unless that person is in danger of death.

**Lesson 19, Question 38**

Add the words “When someone is” to the beginning of the statement and add “him or her” to the end.

**Original Question:** In danger of death, any priest can validly confirm.

**Revised Question:** When someone is in danger of death, any priest can validly confirm him or her.

**Lesson 19, Question 46**

In choice #2, change the words “an indelible” to the words “a temporary.”

**Original Question:** Confirmation impresses 1) a character, 2) an indelible character, 3) a permanent character—which binds a person more perfectly to the Church.

**Revised Question:** Confirmation impresses 1) a character, 2) a temporary character, 3) a permanent character—which binds a person more perfectly to the Church.

**Lesson 20, Question 3**

Replace the entire question with the words, “The reception of Christ in Holy Communion is the most perfect way for a person to grow in the supernatural virtue of charity.”

**Original Question:** ~~The Eucharist is necessary for salvation.~~

**Revised Question:** The reception of Christ in Holy Communion is the most perfect way for a person to grow in the supernatural virtue of charity.

**Lesson 20, Question 7**

Change the word “mortal” to the word “any.”

**Original Question:** The Eucharist never removes the guilt of mortal sin.

**Revised Question:** The Eucharist never removes the guilt of any sin.

**Lesson 20, Question 13**

Add the words “together with the concelebrants” to the end of the question.

**Original Question:** A concelebrated Mass is really only one Mass offered by the principal celebrant.

**Revised Question:** A concelebrated Mass is really only one Mass offered by the principal celebrant, together with the concelebrants.

**Lesson 20, Question 22 in the left-hand column**

Add the following underlined words to the question.

**Original Question:** ~~Essence of Sacrifice of Mass~~

**Revised Question:** The essence of the Sacrifice of the Mass signified by the double Consecration

**Lesson 20, Question 22 in the right-hand column**

Replace the entire question with the words, “The Mystery of Faith, the source and summit of the Christian Life”

**Original Question:** ~~Synthesis of all the mysteries of faith~~

**Revised Question:** The Mystery of Faith, the source and summit of the Christian life

**Lesson 20, Question 27 in the right-hand column**

Replace the entire question with the words, “Offered on the Cross for our salvation”



**Original Question:** ~~Channel of grace from Christ to the world~~

**Revised Question:** Offered on the Cross for our salvation

**Lesson 20, Question 71**

Add the word “merely” after “Law.”

**Original Question:** Canon Law allows Mass to be said in the Latin language.

**Revised Question:** Canon Law merely allows Mass to be said in the Latin language.

**Lesson 20, Question 95**

Add the word “only” after “is.”

**Original Question:** The tabernacle lamp is to be kept burning whenever people are in church.

**Revised Question:** The tabernacle lamp is only to be kept burning whenever people are in church.

**Lesson 21, Number 56 (right-hand column)**

Some versions read, “Claims not all grave sins” or “Claims not all grave sins committed.”

Add the words “committed with full knowledge and deliberate consent are mortal” to the end of the statement, and add “that” after “Claims.”

**Original Question:** Claims not all grave sins committed.

**Revised Question:** Claims that not all grave sins committed with full knowledge and deliberate consent are mortal.

**Lesson 21, Number 58 (right-hand column)**

Change the word “to” to the word “of.”

**Original Question:** Expiation due for penalty to sin.

**Revised Question:** Expiation due for penalty of sin.

**Lesson 21, Question 68**

Change the word “each” to “the,” and change the word “the” to “each.”

**Original Question:** Priests must receive faculties from each Bishop of the Diocese in which they hear confessions.

**Revised Question:** Priests must receive faculties from the Bishop of each Diocese in which they hear confessions.

**Lesson 21, Question 69**

Change the word “therefore” to the word “necessarily.”

**Original Question:** When a priest’s faculties for hearing confessions are removed, he therefore loses the faculties to hear confessions everywhere.

**Revised Question:** When a priest’s faculties for hearing confessions are removed, he necessarily loses the faculties to hear confessions everywhere.

**Lesson 22, Question 17**

Change the word “vegetable” to the word “plant.”

**Original Question:** Only vegetable oil may be used for anointing.

**Revised Question:** Only plant oil may be used for anointing.

**Lesson 22, Question 33**

Add the following phrase to the end of the question, “because they may not be in full possession of their faculties.”

**Original Question:** ~~People obstinately unrepentant should be anointed.~~

**Revised Question:** People obstinately unrepentant should be anointed because they may not be in full possession of their faculties.

**Lesson 22, Question 35 in the right-hand column**

Add the following phrase to the end of the question, “except when there is any reasonable doubt about their obstinateness.”

**Original Question:** ~~Not to be anointed if obstinate.~~

**Revised Question:** Not to be anointed if obstinate except when there is any reasonable doubt about their obstinateness.

**Lesson 23, Question 5**

Change the phrase “shared Sacrament” to the phrase “Sacrament shared by all the faithful.”

**Original Question:** Holy Orders is a ~~shared Sacrament.~~

**Revised Question:** Holy Orders is a Sacrament shared by all the faithful.

Lesson 23, Question 18

Add the words “Some” and “Churches” to the following question.

**Original Question:** Eastern Orthodox reordain priests.

**Revised Question:** Some Eastern Orthodox Churches reordain priests.

**Lesson 23, Question 31 (right-hand column)**

Replace the words “Terminated after Vatican II” with “Renamed ministries and reduced in number to 2”

**Original Question:** 31. ~~Terminated after Vatican II~~

**Revised Question:** 31. Renamed ministries and reduced in number to 2

**Lesson 25, Question 1**

Replace the words “Mosaic Law” with the word “Decalogue.”

**Original Question:** The ~~Mosaic Law~~ was intended for the whole human race.

**Revised Question:** The Decalogue was intended for the whole human race.

**Lesson 25, Question 2**

Replace the words “Mosaic Law” with the word “Decalogue.”

**Original Question:** The ~~Mosaic Law~~ was mainly intended for the chosen people of the Old Testament.

**Revised Question:** The Decalogue was mainly intended for the chosen people of the Old Testament.

**Lesson 26, Question 21**

Add the words “even if unknowingly wrong, provided we have done our best to form it correctly” to the end of the statement.

**Original Question:** We must always follow our conscience.

**Revised Question:** We must always follow our conscience, even if unknowingly wrong, provided we have done our best to form it correctly.

**Lesson 26, Question 76**

Add the words, “of precept” after “poverty.”

**Original Question:** 26-76. Evangelical poverty means becoming actually poor.

**Revised Question:** 26-76. Evangelical poverty **of precept** means becoming actually poor.

**Lesson 26, Question 86**

Add the phrase “Since the Fall of our First Parents” to the beginning of the statement, and replace the words “is an indispensable” with the words “has been.”

**Original Question:** Mourning ~~is an indispensable~~ part of human existence.

**Revised Question:** Since the Fall of our First Parents, mourning has been part of human existence.

**Lesson 26, Question 108(right-hand column)**

Add the words “Eternity of” to #108 in the right-hand column.

**Original Question** #108 (right-hand column) reads “Hell.”

**Revised Question:** #108 should read, “Eternity of Hell”

**Lesson 26, Question 114**

Delete the word, “sinlessness” and replace it with “charity.”

**Original Question:** 26-114. Purity of heart means 1) chastity, 2) chastity and sinlessness, 3) sinlessness.

**Revised Question:** 26-114. Purity of heart means 1) chastity, 2) chastity and sinlessness, 3) charity.

**Lesson 26, Question 117**

Delete the word, “expected” and replace it with “avoided.” Change “welcome” to “welcomed.”

**Original Question:** 26-117. Persecution in the life of a follower of Christ is to be 1) expected, 2) always welcome, 3) welcome for Christ.

**Revised Question:** 26-117. Persecution in the life of a follower of Christ is to be 1) avoided, 2) always welcomed, 3) welcomed for Christ.

**Lesson 26, Question 118**

Replace the question with the revised question.

**Original Question:** 26-118. ~~Peacemakers must themselves be 1) peaceful, 2) peace-loving, 3) zealous to promote peace.~~

**Revised Question:** 26-118. The promise of Jesus in the 7<sup>th</sup> Beatitude is for those who 1) actively promote peace, 2) are peace-loving people, 3) are peaceful people.

**Lesson 26, Question 119**

Delete the words in #3) “living the Sixth Beatitude” and replace them with “determination.”

**Original Question:** 26-119. Progress in prayer depends on our 1) recollection, 2) sinlessness, 3) living the Sixth Beatitude.

**Revised Question:** 26-119. Progress in prayer depends on our 1) recollection, 2) sinlessness, 3) determination.

**Lesson 26, Question 120**

Delete the word “soul” and replace it with “speech.”

**Original Question:** 26-120. Chastity of heart means chastity 1) in body, 2) in soul, 3) in the internal faculties of mind, will, and imagination.

**Revised Question:** 26-120. Chastity of heart means chastity 1) in body, 2) in speech, 3) in the internal faculties of mind, will, and imagination.

**Lesson 26, Question 122**

Replace the word face-to-face with intermittently. Add face-to-face to choice #3.

**Original Question:** 26-122. In Heaven, we shall see God 1) face-to-face, 2) personally, 3) by vision.

**Revised Question:** 26-122. In Heaven, we shall see God 1) intermittently, 2) personally, 3) by vision or face-to-face.

**Lesson 27, Question 19**

Replace the words “is always” with the words “can have.”

**Original Question:** Superstition is always a tendency to magic.

**Revised Question:** Superstition can have a tendency to magic.

**Lesson 27, Question 12**

Add the words, “the” and “of adoration.”

**Original Question:** 27-12. The lowest form of prayer is sacrifice.

**Revised Question:** 27-12. The lowest form of the prayer of adoration is sacrifice.

**Lesson 28, Question 22**

Delete the phrase “In popular language.”

**Original Question:** 28-22. ~~In popular language,~~ swearing is another name for blasphemy.

**Revised Question:** 28-22. Swearing is another name for blasphemy.

**Lesson 28, Question 30**

Replace the words “talk to,” with the words “converse with.”

**Original Question:** We should never talk to the evil spirit.

**Revised Question:** We should never converse with the evil spirit.

**Lesson 30, Question 28**

Insert the word, “not” if it is not currently in the questions in the Workbook and Answer Tablet.

**Original Question:** 30-28. The relationship between employers and employees should be guided by justice, but not necessarily by charity.

**Lesson 30, Number 45 (right-hand column)**

Add the phrase “Selfless charity among” to the beginning of the statement.

**Original Question:** A community of persons

**Revised Question:** Selfless charity among a community of persons

**Lesson 31, Question 2**

Delete the original statement; replace it with the revised statement found below.

**Original Question:** ~~It is permissible to shorten one’s life for a proportionally good reason.~~

**Revised Question:** One may choose to perform some good work involving a risk of shortening one’s life for a proportionately grave reason.

**Lesson 31, Question 3**

Replace the entire question.

**Original Question:** ~~A vital organ necessary to life may be transplanted while the donor of the organ is still alive.~~

**Revised Question:** Biological signs to be observed for a declaration of death must include irreversible cardio-pulmonary arrest, cessation of all vital functions, and disintegration and corruption of the circulatory, respiratory and neurological systems.

**Lesson 31, Question 12**

Replace the words “physical action” with the words “murderous act.”

**Original Question:** Infanticide is the same physical action as abortion.

**Revised Question:** Infanticide is the same murderous act as abortion.

**Lesson 31, Question 31**

Delete question and replace it with revised question.

**Original Question:** ~~Only God has the final right to determine how long a person is to live.~~

**Revised Question:** God alone has absolute dominium over human life.

**Lesson 31, Question 56**

Replace the words “Drug addiction” with “Deliberate abuse of drugs (legal or illegal).”

**Original Question:** ~~Drug addiction~~ is against the Fifth Commandment.

**Revised Question:** Deliberate abuse of drugs (legal or illegal) is against the Fifth Commandment.

**Lesson 32, Question 25**

Insert the word “only,” after “is.”

**Original Question:** The practice of contraception is forbidden as an end in itself.

**Revised Question:** The practice of contraception is only forbidden as an end in itself.

**Lesson 33, Question 11**

Replace the word “not” with “never.”

**Original Question:** If the owner is willing to give it up, it is ~~not~~ wrong to take another person’s possessions.

**Revised Question:** If the owner is willing to give it up, it is never wrong to take another person’s possessions.

**Lesson 35, Question 24**

Replace the words “the love of” with “sacrifice out of love for.”

**Original Question:** The highest form of adoration is ~~the love of~~ God.

**Revised Question:** The highest form of adoration is sacrifice out of love for God.

**Lesson 35, Number 27 (right-hand column)**

In some versions, there is a typographical error in the right-hand column. If #27 in the right-hand column reads, “Are come to adore Him,” change it to “And have come to adore Him.”

**Question #27 (right-hand column)** should read “And have come to adore Him.”

**Lesson 35, Number 30 (right-hand column)**

Replace “St. Matthew” with “John 11:27.”

**Original Question:** #30 (right-hand column) ~~St. Matthew~~

**Revised Question #30 (right-hand column)** should read: John 11:27

**Lesson 36, Question 29**

Change the word “is” to “should be.”

**Original Question:** *The Lord’s Prayer* ~~is~~ said by all Christians.

**Revised Question:** *The Lord’s Prayer* should be said by all Christians.

**Lesson 36, Question 30**

Delete the word “personnel” if it has been inserted in the question.

**Original Question:** 30. In Heaven there is no more ~~personnel~~ prayer of petition.

**Revised Question:** 30. In Heaven there is no more prayer of petition.

**Lesson 36, Question 34**

Insert the words, “in some way” before the word, “present”.

**Original Question:** Wherever God is, there we may say God is present.

**Revised Question:** Wherever God is, there we may say God is in some way present.

**Use the following pages for the lessons indicated.**

Include these pages when submitting answers for these lessons. Cross-out these matching sections on your mail-in *Answer Tablet* and use these matching sections instead.

- Lesson 3 – matching section
- Lesson 7 – matching section

- Lesson 13 – matching section
- Lesson 16 – matching section
- Lesson 24 – use these questions instead of those given in your Workbook or Answer Tablet for this lesson 24.
- Lesson 24 – Read the article on the Sacrament of Matrimony in order to answer the questions for this lesson 24.
- Lesson 26 – 2 matching sections
- Lesson 27 – matching section
- Lesson 28 – matching section
- Lesson 29 – matching section
- Lesson 30 – matching section
- Lesson 33 – matching section
- Lesson 36 – matching section

## Lesson 3 – What Does it Mean to Believe?

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 3. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail, email or fax all the pages for correction.

### III. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>__41. Faith can be deepened</p> <p>__42. Humility</p> <p>__43. Credibility</p> <p>__44. Strict mystery</p> <p>__45. Trust</p> <p>__46. God cannot deceive</p> <p>__47. Credulity</p> <p>__48. Virtue of hope</p> <p>__49. Divine faith</p> <p>__50. Believing in God (the believer)</p> <p>__51. God cannot be deceived</p> <p>__52. Believing God (the believer)</p> <p>__53. Human faith</p> <p>__54. Miracles</p> <p>__55. Without faith</p> <p>__56. Christ's Resurrection</p> <p>__57. Virtue of faith</p> <p>__58. Miracles performed by God in the Church after the Apostolic Age</p> <p>__59. Divine Revelation-Sacred Scripture and Sacred Tradition</p> <p>__60. Miracles described in the Old and New Testaments</p> | <p>41. Because He is all wise</p> <p>42. Confidence in someone</p> <p>43. Belief in human beings</p> <p>44. Theological virtue that enables one to have the confidence of receiving the grace necessary to reach Heaven</p> <p>45. Theological virtue that enables one to believe that what God has revealed is true</p> <p>46. Having faith that God exists and having personal adherence to Him</p> <p>47. Giving free assent to all that God has revealed</p> <p>48. Biblical miracles</p> <p>49. Recognition of our total dependence on God</p> <p>50. Assent of the mind to what God has revealed to us</p> <p>51. Unreasonable faith</p> <p>52. Incomprehensible to the created mind</p> <p>53. Crowning miracle of the Christian faith</p> <p>54. Ecclesiastical miracles</p> <p>55. Because He is all good</p> <p>56. God speaking to us</p> <p>57. Final basis of the credibility of Christian faith</p> <p>58. By prayer, study and the practice of what we believe</p> <p>59. Rational grounds for belief</p> <p>60. There cannot be hope</p> |
|--|--|





## Lesson 7 – The Apostles’ Creed: Article 3

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 7. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>__46. <i>Munificentissimus Deus</i></p> <p>__47. Assumption</p> <p>__48. Feast of Mary Mother of God</p> <p>__49. Council of Ephesus</p> <p>__50. Sacred Tradition</p> <p>__51. Claimed Christ was two persons</p> <p>__52. <i>Imitation of Christ</i> by A’Kempis</p> <p>__53. Intercession by Mary</p> <p>__54. Does not detract from glory due to God</p> <p>__55. <i>Latria</i></p> <p>__56. Mary could not sin</p> <p>__57. John the Apostle at Calvary</p> <p>__58. <i>Theotokos</i></p> <p>__59. First Gospel</p> <p>__60. Serpent in <i>Genesis</i></p> | <p>46. Defined Mary’s Divine Maternity</p> <p>47. Nestorius, Patriarch of Constantinople</p> <p>48. Tool to teach others how to become holy</p> <p>49. A special privilege of extraordinary grace guaranteed this immunity from sin</p> <p>50. Veneration given to Mary and the saints</p> <p>51. Adoration due only to God</p> <p>52. A Source of Divine Revelation</p> <p>53. Apostolic Constitution by Pope Pius XII</p> <p>54. Mary taken into Heaven body and soul</p> <p>55. January 1</p> <p>56. Mary’s mediation of grace from Christ to us</p> <p>57. Devil</p> <p>58. Mother of God</p> <p>59. “Behold your mother”</p> <p>60. Chapter 3 of <i>Genesis</i>, the <i>Protoevangelium</i></p> |
|--|--|



## Lesson 13 – The Apostles’ Creed: Article 9, The Catholic Church and the Communion of Saints

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 13. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail, email or fax all the pages for correction.

### III. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>__33. Credibility</p> <p>__34. Prophecy</p> <p>__35. Roman Pontiff</p> <p>__36. Sanctity</p> <p>__37. The Eucharist</p> <p>__38. Exercise of the Extraordinary Magisterium</p> <p>__39. Apostolicity</p> <p>__40. Catholicity</p> <p>__41. Universal Sacrament of Salvation</p> <p>__42. Called the Second Vatican Council</p> | <p>33. Holiness</p> <p>34. Unbroken transmission of episcopal powers, through ordination, from the Apostles</p> <p>35. Visible source and foundation of unity of faith and communion</p> <p>36. Universality of the Church founded by Christ</p> <p>37. The Church founded by Christ as the visible channel of the graces He won for us on the Cross</p> <p>38. Pope John XXIII</p> <p>39. Rational basis for belief</p> <p>40. Worthy reception perfects and sustains the oneness of the members of the Church</p> <p>41. Communication inspired directly from God</p> <p>42. Ex cathedra pronouncements</p> |
|---|---|



## Lesson 16 – The Apostles’ Creed: Article 12, Life Everlasting

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 16. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail, email or fax all the pages for correction.

### The Joys of Heaven

#### III. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>__46. Eternal life is this,</p> <p>__47. This mortal nature</p> <p>__48. The things that no eye has seen, and no ear has heard, things beyond the mind of man</p> <p>__49. Death shall be no more, neither shall there be mourning nor crying nor pain any more</p> <p>__50. But our commonwealth is in Heaven, and from it we await a Savior</p> <p>__51. They will see Him face-to-face</p> <p>__52. And when I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again</p> <p>__53. Behold, I am coming soon</p> <p>__54. Ask and you will receive</p> <p>_ 55. In my Father’s house are many rooms; if it were not so</p> <p>__56. You will be sorrowful</p> <p>__57. For here we have no lasting city</p> <p>__58. We shall be like Him because</p> <p>__59. Come, you whom my Father has blessed</p> <p>__60. For me to live is Christ</p> | <p>46. The Lord Jesus Christ, who will change our lowly body to be like His glorious Body</p> <p>47. But your sorrow will turn to joy</p> <p>48. But we seek the city which is to come</p> <p>49. To know you, the only true God Jesus Christ whom you have sent</p> <p>50. Take for your heritage the Kingdom prepared for you since the foundation of the world</p> <p>51. And to die is gain</p> <p>52. Would I have told you that I go to prepare a place for you?</p> <p>53. We shall see Him as He really is</p> <p>54. Must put on immortality</p> <p>55. And will take you to myself, that where I am you may be also</p> <p>56. All that God has prepared for those who love Him</p> <p>57. And so your joy will be complete</p> <p>58. For the former things have passed away</p> <p>59. And His name will be written on their foreheads</p> <p>60. Bringing my recompense, to repay every one for what he has done</p> |
|---|---|



## Lesson 26 – The Ten Commandments and the Beatitudes

Use this page to answer the 1<sup>st</sup> set of matching questions in Lesson 26. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 41. Virtue of religion  | 41. Virtue that controls inordinate fear in the face of difficulties in performing one's duty |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 42. Distributive justice  | 42. Virtue that enables us to control our sexual desires                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 43. Virtue of fortitude   | 43. Virtue that enables us to render to God the worship He deserves                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 44. Virtue of chastity  | 44. Just distribution of goods to the citizens by those who have authority in a State         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 45. Virtue that enables us to give everyone his or her rightful due | 45. Virtue of temperance  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 46. Cardinal virtues  | 46. Virtue of bravery in facing difficulties, more aggressive in undertaking than fortitude   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 47. Acts as a moral brake-moderates the desire for pleasure         | 47. Virtue of justice   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 48. Seven principle forms of Concupiscence                          | 48. Capital sins-pride, avarice, lust, envy, gluttony, anger and sloth                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 49. The virtue opposed to pride                                     | 49. Slanderer   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 50. Temptations from within ourselves such as lust or gluttony      | 50. Mystical Body on earth  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 51. The "world" as a source of temptation                           | 51. "God Himself tempts no one"   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 52. Virtues opposed to anger  | 52. The "flesh" as source of temptation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 53. Theological virtues   | 53. Virtue of humility  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 54. Humiliations  | 54. Sloth   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 55. Avarice   | 55. Greed   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 56. Natural desire for ease   | 56. Prudence, justice, temperance and fortitude   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 57. Devil   | 57. Road to growth in humility  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 58. Church Militant   | 58. Virtues of patience and meekness  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 59. Saint James 1:13  | 59. Infused at Baptism  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 60. Virtue of courage   | 60. Other human beings soliciting us to sin by their lifestyle, speech or sinful action       |

## Lesson 26 – The Ten Commandments and the Beatitudes

Use this page to answer the 2<sup>nd</sup> set of matching questions in Lesson 26. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### I. Match the terms in the following columns

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| ___ 91. Meekness                                   | 91. Tend to become habits                            |
| ___ 92. Sadness                                    | 92. Four beatitudes and four woes                    |
| ___ 93. Desires                                    | 93. The wish to do something forbidden               |
| ___ 94. Mercy from God                             | 94. Deceptive happiness by doing one's own self-will |
| ___ 95. Authentic happiness                        | 95. Sin  |
| ___ 96. Fifth Beatitude-"Blessed are the merciful" | 96. The experience of suffering                      |
| ___ 97. Moral evil                                 | 97. Tend to become actions                           |
| ___ 98. Actions                                    | 98. Sermon on the Mount                              |
| ___ 99. Spurious happiness                         | 99. Sources of all temptations                       |
| ___ 100. The will                                  | 100. Favorite temptation of the devil                |
| ___ 101. Human respect                             | 101. The Church's normal condition                   |
| ___ 102. Evil desire                               | 102. Only in doing God's will                        |
| ___ 103. Saint Luke                                | 103. "For they shall obtain mercy"                   |
| ___ 104. Pain                                      | 104. Purity of heart                                 |
| ___ 105. Physical evil                             | 105. Naturally desires the good                      |
| ___ 106. The world, the flesh, and the devil       | 106. Moderates anger                                 |
| ___ 107. Christ elevates the Decalogue             | 107. Acceptance by the world                         |
| ___ 108. Absolute evil                             | 108. Eternity of Hell                                |
| ___ 109. Persecution                               | 109. Pain  |
| ___ 110. Sinlessness                               | 110. Depends on our mercy                            |



## Lesson 27 – First Commandment

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 27. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>___ 26. Dreams</p> <p>___ 27. “You shall know that I am the Lord”</p> <p>___ 28. <i>Adonai</i></p> <p>___ 29. Must exist</p> <p>___ 30. Creature</p> <p>___ 31. Adoration</p> <p>___ 32. Three duties prescribed by First Commandment</p> <p>___ 33. We must pray with</p> <p>___ 34. Virtue of religion</p> <p>___ 35. Atheism</p> <p>___ 36. Sacrifice</p> <p>___ 37. Sacrifice of self</p> <p>___ 38. Fortune-telling</p> <p>___ 39. Idolatry</p> <p>___ 40. Satanism</p> <p>___ 41. Superstition</p> <p>___ 42. Demanding God to do something to show His divine wisdom or might</p> <p>___ 43. Sacrilege</p> <p>___ 44. Simony</p> <p>___ 45. Sacrilegious Holy Communion</p> | <p>26. Lord</p> <p>27. An act of religion by which God is acknowledged as alone worthy of supreme honor</p> <p>28. Rejects or denies the existence of God</p> <p>29. The alleged ability to foretell future events</p> <p>30. St. Paul’s <i>First Letter to the Corinthians</i> 11:27-30</p> <p>31. The worship of Satan</p> <p>32. Tempting God</p> <p>33. Desecration of something sacred or consecrated to God</p> <p>34. Necessary Being</p> <p>35. Giving divine honors to a creature</p> <p>36. “Your God”</p> <p>37. Surrendering of one’s self-will to God</p> <p>38. Made from nothing by God</p> <p>39. Adoration, prayer and sacrifice</p> <p>40. <i>Acts of the Apostles</i> 8:18-24</p> <p>41. Moral virtue by which we are disposed to render to God the worship He deserves</p> <p>42. An awareness of God—of being in His presence and with whom we are conversing</p> <p>43. Surrendering of something precious for the love of God</p> <p>44. Unbecoming worship to God-attributing power to certain practices or objects, like charms or omens</p> <p>45. May contain hidden meaning</p> |
|---|---|



## Lesson 28 - Second Commandment

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 28. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>___ 31. Tongue is a pest</p> <p>___ 32. "He who blasphemes the name of the Lord"</p> <p>___ 33. The invocation of God's name to bear witness to the truth</p> <p>___ 34. Perjury</p> <p>___ 35. Adjuration</p> <p>___ 36. Practice of exorcism</p> <p>___ 37. Not to make petitions to God</p> <p>___ 38. Blasphemy</p> <p>___ 39. "In my name"</p> <p>___ 40. "Profane not my holy name"</p> <p>___ 41. "At the name of Jesus every knee should bow"</p> <p>___ 42. "In his name will the Gentiles hope"</p> <p>___ 43. Jesus</p> <p>___ 44. Christ</p> <p>___ 45. Sacraments</p> <p>___ 46. Vow</p> <p>___ 47. "Watch and pray"</p> <p>___ 48. "He went into the hills by himself"</p> <p>___ 49. "I am not praying"</p> <p>___ 50. "You must fulfill your oaths to the Lord"</p> | <p>31. Sensible signs, instituted by Jesus Christ, by which invisible grace and inward sanctification are communicated to the soul</p> <p>32. Dishonor to God by neglect of prayer</p> <p>33. <i>James</i> 3:2-12</p> <p>34. Using the name of God to confirm a command or a request</p> <p>35. "They shall cast out demons"</p> <p>36. "Shall be put to death"</p> <p>37. <i>Matthew</i> 12:17</p> <p>38. Anointed One (Greek)</p> <p>39. To swear or take an oath</p> <p>40. Reserved only to priests and bishops</p> <p>41. Swearing to a falsehood</p> <p>42. <i>Leviticus</i> 22:2</p> <p>43. <i>Philippians</i> 2:10</p> <p>44. Savior</p> <p>45. Speaking against God in a contemptuous, scornful or abusive manner-the worst sin against the Second Commandment</p> <p>46. "For the world"</p> <p>47. "To pray"</p> <p>48. "That you may not enter into temptation"</p> <p>49. <i>Matthew</i> 5:33-37</p> <p>50. A free, deliberate promise made to God to do something that is good and that is more pleasing to God than its omission</p> |
|--|---|



## Lesson 29 - Third Commandment

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 29. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 21. "On the seventh day He rested"                          | 21. St. Benedict  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 22. Didache says, "On the Lord's Day, assemble in common"   | 22. Liturgy of the Eucharist  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 23. Sun of Justice  | 23. Sabbath   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 24. Servile work  | 24. Writing, typing, painting, drawing, teaching, studying                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 25. "The Son of Man"  | 25. <i>Malachi</i> 4:2  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 26. "Remember that you were a servant in the land of Egypt" | 26. Teaching of the Twelve Apostles                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 27. Social worship of God                                   | 27. Liturgy of the Hours  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 28. Hebrew word for rest                                    | 28. Bidding prayer  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 29. On Sundays and other holy days of obligation            | 29. <i>Exodus</i> 20:11   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 30. Divine Office   | 30. Prescribed by the Third Commandment                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 31. <i>Opus Dei</i>   | 31. "And the Lord your God brought you out"                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 32. <i>Corpus Christi</i>                                   | 32. "Is Lord of the Sabbath"  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 33. Sacrifice of the Mass                                   | 33. Thursday after the Feast of the Holy Trinity                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 34. Prayer of the Faithful                                  | 34. Faithful are obliged to assist at Mass                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 35. Not considered servile work                             | 35. Heavy manual labor or activities that hinder renewal of soul and body |



## Lesson 30 - Fourth Commandment

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 30. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>___ 31. Piety</p> <p>___ 32. Duty of State</p> <p>___ 33. Filial piety</p> <p>___ 34. Family solidarity</p> <p>___ 35. "He was subject to them"</p> <p>___ 36. Golden Rule <i>Luke 6:31</i></p> <p>___ 37. Two kinds of honor to be shown</p> <p>___ 38. "The eye that mocks a father and scorns to obey a mother will be picked out by the ravens"</p> <p>___ 39. "Be obedient to your parents in the Lord"</p> <p>___ 40. "Observe all that I have commanded you"</p> <p>___ 41. Duty of citizens</p> <p>___ 42. "Obedience to God comes before obedience to men"</p> <p>___ 43. <i>Quadregesimo Anno</i></p> <p>___ 44. <i>Familiaris Consortio</i></p> <p>___ 45. Duty of Bishops</p> | <p>31. Honor given to those in authority, those in authority honor those under their authority</p> <p>32. <i>Ephesians 6:1</i></p> <p>33. Obedience to the State</p> <p>34. Promote the holiness of Christ's faithful</p> <p>35. Duty of children to honor their parents</p> <p>36. Pope Pius XI</p> <p>37. <i>Luke 2:51</i></p> <p>38. Respect the rights of its citizens</p> <p>39. <i>Matthew 28:19-20</i></p> <p>40. Treat others as you want them to treat you</p> <p>41. Pope John Paul II</p> <p>42. Honor and reverence toward those responsible for our existence or well-being</p> <p>43. <i>Acts of the Apostles 5:29</i></p> <p>44. <i>Proverbs 30:17</i></p> <p>45. Selfless charity among a community of persons</p> |
|--|--|





## Lesson 33 - Seventh And Tenth Commandment

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 33. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>___ 26. “Keep your life free from love of money”</p> <p>___ 27. Chosen by lot</p> <p>___ 28. “Do not lay up for yourselves treasures”</p> <p>___ 29. “You cannot serve God”</p> <p>___ 30. Warn those who are rich</p> <p>___ 31. Restitution</p> <p>___ 32. Poverty of spirit</p> <p>___ 33. “Make friends for yourselves by means of unrighteous mammon”</p> <p>___ 34. On Atheistic Communism (<i>Divini Redemptoris</i>)</p> <p>___ 35. “Lay up for yourselves treasures”</p> <p>___ 36. “When the young man heard this he went away sorrowful”</p> <p>___ 37. On the Development of Peoples (<i>Populorum Progressio</i>)</p> <p>___ 38. On Social Concern (<i>Sollicitudo Rei Socialis</i>)</p> <p>___ 39. Justice must be elevated by</p> <p>___ 40. The root of all evils</p> | <p>26. “On earth”</p> <p>27. Making reparation for a wrong done</p> <p>28. “For he had great possessions”</p> <p>29. <i>Luke 16:8-9</i></p> <p>30. Charity</p> <p>31. Pope Pius XI</p> <p>32. “In heaven”</p> <p>33. “And be content with what you have”</p> <p>34. “Not to set their hopes on uncertain riches but on God” (1 <i>Timothy 6:17</i>)</p> <p>35. “And money”</p> <p>36. St. Matthias</p> <p>37. Pope Paul VI</p> <p>38. First Beatitude</p> <p>39. Pope John Paul II</p> <p>40. Love of money</p> |
|--|---|



## Lesson 36 - The Lord's Prayer

Use this page to answer the matching questions in Lesson 36. Submit this page along with the other question pages for this lesson, they are found in your *Answer Tablet*. Mail or email all the pages for correction.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>___ 81. <i>Pater Noster</i></p> <p>___ 82. Prayer of Petition</p> <p>___ 83. Heaven</p> <p>___ 84. "Hallowed be Thy Name"</p> <p>___ 85. Sacred time</p> <p>___ 86. Prayer for mercy</p> <p>___ 87. Devil</p> <p>___ 88. Temptations to sadness and discouragement</p> <p>___ 89. God's will in Heaven</p> <p>___ 90. Tempted by the devil</p> <p>___ 91. No temptation is too strong</p> <p>___ 92. We are all children of God</p> <p>___ 93. Seeing God by intuitive vision and face-to-face</p> <p>___ 94. The world, the flesh and the devil</p> <p>___ 95. Unruly passions</p> | <p>81. Prayer for our needs</p> <p>82. <i>Sanctificetur nomen tuum</i></p> <p>83. "Forgive us our trespasses"</p> <p>84. Liar</p> <p>85. Favorite temptations of the devil</p> <p>86. <i>Our Father</i></p> <p>87. The place and condition of perfect supernatural happiness</p> <p>88. Because we are all creatures of God</p> <p>89. Time set aside for prayer</p> <p>90. The beatific vision</p> <p>91. Three sources of temptation</p> <p>92. Concupiscence</p> <p>93. Provided we pray for help</p> <p>94. Even experienced by Christ</p> <p>95. Done by everyone perfectly</p> |
|--|--|

### III. Second Set of Matching

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>___ 96. Trespasses</p> <p>___ 97. Kindness</p> <p>___ 98. That the Catholic Church, may grow in numbers and holiness</p> <p>___ 99. First three petitions of <i>Pater Noster</i></p> <p>___ 100. "Our Father, Who art in Heaven"</p> <p>___ 101. Worst of all evil</p> <p>___ 102. Protection from the devil</p> <p>___ 103. Cheerfulness</p> <p>___ 104. <i>Adveniat regnum tuum</i></p> <p>___ 105. Total otherness of God</p> <p>___ 106. <i>Panem nostrum quotidianum da nobis hodie</i></p> <p>___ 107. The Holy Eucharist</p> <p>___ 108. Opening invocation of <i>Pater Noster</i></p> <p>___ 109. <i>Fiat voluntas tua</i></p> <p>___ 110. True happiness in this life</p> | <p>96. Gentleness in the practice of charity</p> <p>97. First meaning of the second petition</p> <p>98. Prayer for God's greater glory</p> <p>99. Offenses</p> <p>100. Eternal punishment</p> <p>101. First meaning of the fourth petition</p> <p>102. Act of adoration of God</p> <p>103. "Give us this day our daily bread"</p> <p>104. God's holiness</p> <p>105. <i>Pater noster, qui es in caelis</i></p> <p>106. A meaning of the last petition</p> <p>107. External witness of interior joy from doing God's will</p> <p>108. "Thy Kingdom come"</p> <p>109. Depends on our doing the will of God</p> <p>110. "Thy will be done"</p> |
|---|---|



## Lesson 24 - The Sacrament of Matrimony

Read the article, “[Sacrament of Matrimony](#)” by Father John A. Hardon, S.J., revised and updated by Raymond Leo Cardinal Burke.

Use these pages to answer the questions for Lesson 24. Submit these pages for correction.

### I. Indicate whether the following statements are true or false.

- 1. Marriage was originally a civil, social contract.
- 2. Marriage was first instituted by God.
- 3. Marriage is radically different from all other contracts.
- 4. Originally marriage was monogamous.
- 5. Our First Parents had no concupiscence before the fall.
- 6. Marriage is a covenant, not a contract.
- 7. Marriage is made valid by intercourse.
- 8. To be valid, a couple must voluntarily enter marriage.
- 9. A purpose of marriage is to foster unitive love.
- 10. A purpose of marriage is to foster procreative love.
- 11. We can prove from Scripture when Christ explicitly instituted the Sacrament of Matrimony.
- 12. It is an Article of revealed Faith that Christ raised marriage to the level of a Sacrament.
- 13. The Sacrament of Matrimony cannot be received by a non-baptized person.
- 14. Only a person in the state of grace can receive the Sacrament of Matrimony.
- 15. Both partners must be baptized for either of them to receive the Sacrament of Matrimony.
- 16. To receive the graces of the Sacrament of Matrimony, a person must be in the state of grace.
- 17. Unless a person intends a lifelong union, the marriage is invalid.
- 18. Every marriage is absolutely indissoluble.
- 19. Every sacramental marriage is absolutely indissoluble.
- 20. A sacramental, consummated marriage cannot be dissolved.
- 21. Some forms of contraception prevent consummation of a marriage.

### II. Match the terms in the following columns.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 22. To foster unitive procreative love</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 23. Natural (legitimate) marriage</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 24. Indissolubility of marriage</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 25. Two baptized persons</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 26. Ratified or sacramental marriage</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 27. Can be dissolved</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 28. Polygamy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 29. Unity of marriage</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 30. Free mutual consent</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> 31. Church (Ecclesiastical) law</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>22. Receive the Sacrament of Matrimony if marriage is valid</li> <li>23. Terms used when the Sacrament of Matrimony is validly received by two baptized persons</li> <li>24. Forbidden to all human beings since the time of Christ</li> <li>25. Necessary for a valid marital contract</li> <li>26. Governs the validity of marriage for baptized persons</li> <li>27. Purpose of marriage</li> <li>28. The permanence of marriage which cannot be broken by the spouses</li> <li>29. Marriage which is not a Sacrament</li> <li>30. Natural (legitimate) marriage</li> <li>31. Exclusive union of one man with one woman</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

**I. Indicate whether the following statements are true or false.**

- 32. Nature has ordained conjugal love toward procreation.
- 33. Christ personally elevated marriage to a Sacrament.
- 34. Both partners must be baptized for either to receive the Sacrament of Matrimony.
- 35. Provided just one partner is baptized, he or she receives the Sacrament of Marriage.
- 36. Parents have the inalienable right to educate their children.
- 37. Only the parents receive grace from the Sacrament of Matrimony.
- 38. Sexual relations outside of marriage are grave sins.
- 39. Sterility invalidates marriage.
- 40. Impotency does not invalidate marriage.
- 41. Historically, marriage was originally monogamous.
- 42. Polygamy was the original condition of marriage.
- 43. Polygamy was never permissible.
- 44. Polygamy is now permissible to non-Christians.
- 45. Marriage was instituted solely for procreation.
- 46. Large families are encouraged.
- 47. What today is called Natural Family Planning began in the 1950's.
- 48. Pius XI's *Casti Connubii* is referred to by Vatican II.
- 49. Only a consummated sacramental marriage is absolutely indissoluble.
- 50. Monogamy is the normal practice in all major religions.
- 51. The family is the foundation of society.
- 52. Homosexuality destroys a society.

**II. Match the terms in the following columns.**

- |  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 53. Contraception               | 53. Conjugal chastity in marriage |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 54. Marital fidelity            | 54. Inseparable in marriage       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 55. <i>Familiaris Consortio</i> | 55. Forbidden by the natural law  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 56. Mutual love and procreation | 56. Pope Pius XI                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 57. <i>Casti Connubii</i>       | 57. Pope John Paul II             |

**Canon Law (Canons 1055-1107)**

**I. Indicate whether the following statements are true or false.**

- 58. Marriage was a Sacrament before the time of Christ.
- 59. Every valid marriage between baptized persons is also a Sacrament.
- 60. The unity of marriage excludes polygamy.
- 61. The indissolubility of marriage forbids divorce with remarriage.
- 62. Only a consummated sacramental marriage is absolutely indissoluble.
- 63. It is not essential for the marriage consent to be given in person by the marrying partners.
- 64. The Catholic Church has authority only over marriages of Catholics.
- 65. In case of doubt, a marriage must be presumed to be valid.
- 66. Only natural intercourse consummates a marriage.
- 67. A promise to marry, if seriously made, is seriously binding in conscience.
- 68. Married partners have a grave duty to assist each other to grow in holiness.
- 69. Confirmation should be received before marriage.
- 70. The Church urges Catholics about to marry to receive the Sacraments of Penance and the Holy Eucharist.

- \_\_\_ 71. It is not mandated that the faithful make known to Church authorities any impediments that may exist to an intended marriage, of which they are aware.
- \_\_\_ 72. No matter what their age, a couple may not marry without their parents' permission.
- \_\_\_ 73. Young persons in every society, though canonically eligible to marry, should nonetheless be forbidden to marry.
- \_\_\_ 74. Marriage is not brought about by the lawfully manifested consent of both parties.
- \_\_\_ 75. Matrimonial consent is an act of the will.
- \_\_\_ 76. In order to marry validly, the man must have completed his sixteenth year, and the woman her fourteenth year.
- \_\_\_ 77. The Conference of Bishops is allowed to reduce the canonical age for marriage.
- \_\_\_ 78. Sterility does not invalidate marriage.
- \_\_\_ 79. Impotence, as described in Canon Law, does invalidate marriage.
- \_\_\_ 80. Without a dispensation, marriage between a Catholic and a non-baptized person is illicit but valid.
- \_\_\_ 81. In every valid marriage there is a marriage bond.

**II. Match the terms in the following columns.**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| ___ 82. Valid marriage between baptized persons                 | 82. Necessary to assist validly at the marriage of a Catholic  |
| ___ 83. Diriment impediment                                     | 83. Necessary for valid consent in matrimonial consent   |
| ___ 84. Faculties to officiate at marriage                      | 84. Always a Sacrament   |
| ___ 85. Sufficient use of reason                                | 85. Facts or circumstances which render a person unable to contract marriage validly                                 |
| ___ 86. Error about indissolubility of marriage                 | 86. When two persons have a close biological or blood relationship   |
| ___ 87. Diriment impediment of consanguinity                    | 87. Marriage is not allowed  |
| ___ 88. When there is any doubt about being too closely related | 88. When two persons have an in-law relationship   |
| ___ 89. Diriment impediment of affinity                         | 89. Inability to have children   |
| ___ 90. Diriment impediment of impotence                        | 90. Invalidates marriage if it determines the will, so that the person does not intend a lifetime marital commitment |
| ___ 91. Sterility   | 91. Inability to have marital relations  |
| ___ 92. Consummated sacramental marriage                        | 92. Natural (legitimate) marriage  |
| ___ 93. Marriage of two unbaptized persons                      | 93. Absolutely indissoluble  |

**I. Indicate whether the following statements are true or false.**

- \_\_\_ 94. A Catholic cannot validly marry without a dispensation, except before an authorized priest or deacon.
- \_\_\_ 95. Every priest may validly assist at a Catholic marriage.
- \_\_\_ 96. Pastors cannot give a general faculty to priests to assist at marriage.
- \_\_\_ 97. Lay persons can be delegated to assist at marriage in place of priests or deacons.
- \_\_\_ 98. Every person baptized in the Catholic Church is bound by the canonical form of marriage even when there is danger of death.
- \_\_\_ 99. Without proper permission, marriage of a Catholic to a baptized non-Catholic is invalid.

- \_\_\_ 100. The Catholic and non-Catholic partners in a mixed (inter-faith) marriage must agree to bring up the children Catholic.
- \_\_\_ 101. Marriages may never be celebrated in secret.
- \_\_\_ 102. Every valid marriage is indissoluble by nature.
- \_\_\_ 103. Sacramental marriage is absolutely indissoluble.
- \_\_\_ 104. Children born of a putative marriage are illegitimate.
- \_\_\_ 105. Illegitimate children can never be legitimized.
- \_\_\_ 106. Ratified or sacramental, consummated marriage is indissoluble except by death.
- \_\_\_ 107. Non-consummated marriages can be dissolved by the Bishop.
- \_\_\_ 108. The Pauline Privilege dissolves natural marriages.
- \_\_\_ 109. Only natural intercourse consummates marriage.
- \_\_\_ 110. Only the Pauline Privilege can dissolve a natural marriage.
- \_\_\_ 111. Marriage between a non-baptized and a non-Catholic baptized person can be dissolved.
- \_\_\_ 112. A polygamist, on being baptized, must marry his first wife, i.e., he must dismiss all his wives except the first one.
- \_\_\_ 113. The Church earnestly recommends that an adulterous spouse be pardoned by their faithful spouse.

**II. Match the terms in the following columns.**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| ___ 114. Ratified marriages are  | 114. Requirement for a valid sacramental marriage between any baptized persons                     |
| ___ 115. Pauline Privilege   | 115. Grounds for separation  |
| ___ 116. Mixed (inter-faith) marriage promises                             | 116. Convalidation without new consent of the parties  |
| ___ 117. Natural (legitimate) marriage bond                                | 117. When one or both are not baptized before marriage.  |
| ___ 118. Simple convalidation  | 118. Canonical form  |
| ___ 119. Prescribed form in Canon Law for the valid marriage of a Catholic | 119. Previous existing marriage bond rendering the person unqualified to contract marriage validly |
| ___ 120. Must possess the proper "capacity"                                | 120. Always sacramental marriages  |
| ___ 121. Serious danger to spirit or body                                  | 121. Required of the Catholic spouse   |
| ___ 122. Radical sanation  | 122. Dissolves a natural (legitimate) marriage   |
| ___ 123. Diriment impediment of divine law                                 | 123. Convalidation with new consent of the parties   |



## Read this article for Lesson 24 – Advanced Course

### Sacrament of Holy Matrimony

by Father John A. Hardon, S.J., revised and updated by Raymond Leo Cardinal Burke

#### 1. Marriage

The Catholic teaching on marriage, although built on the natural law, differs sharply from the earlier practices among the Jews and pagans, and also from the modern secular usage – to the point that no area of public relationship more clearly distinguishes Catholicism from other institutions of human society. It cuts across every aspect of the moral law and has implications in every phase of man's attitude towards God, so that marriage can truly be called the most revealing trait of the Catholic religion and almost the test of its validity.

The following two Papal documents provide essential explanations on the Sacrament of Matrimony:

- 1) Pius XI, On Christian Marriage, *Casti Connubii*, December 31, 1930. This encyclical gives clear teaching on marital indissolubility and the evil of contraception. It was the principle source used by the Second Vatican Council for its document, the *Pastoral Constitution on the Church in the Modern World (Gaudium et Spes)*, numbers 47-52.
- 2) John Paul II, On the Christian Family in the Modern World, *Familiaris Consortio*, November 22, 1981. This post-synodal apostolic exhortation, written after the Second Vatican Council, covers every important aspect of marriage and family life.

#### 2. Nature of Marriage

In the Catholic Christian view of life, marriage is more than a social convenience whose structure is shaped by the people getting married and the accidents of time and place where they plan to live. Its foundations go back to the origins of the

human race and clarify that marriage was not instituted by man, but by God. When God created man and woman, He implanted in human nature the urge for the marital union and reproduction: "God created man in His image. In the image of God, He created him. Male and female He created them" (*Genesis 1:27*). The Hebrew word for man (Adam) is a collective noun, referring not only to the first man but through him also to the race as a whole.

The Lord blessed the first human pair and, by a special revelation, gave them the divine mandate of reproducing their kind: "Be fruitful and multiply." This immediately raises the status of marriage, even before the time of Christ, to a level above the merely human and invests it with duties and a sublimity that could only derive from God.

In the Catholic Church, therefore, it is held as an immutable and inviolable fundamental doctrine that matrimony was not originated or restored by man but by God.

"Not by man were the laws made to strengthen and confirm and elevate it but by God, the Author of nature, and by Christ our Lord by whom nature was redeemed. Consequently, the laws of marriage cannot be subject to any human decrees or to any contrary pact even of the spouses themselves. This is the doctrine of Holy Scripture; this is the constant teaching of the Universal Church; this the solemn definition of the Council of Trent, which declares and establishes from the words of Holy Writ itself that God is the Author of the perpetual stability of the marriage bond, its unity and its firmness." (Pius XI, On Christian Marriage, *Casti Connubii*, #5).

Every position which challenges the Catholic teaching on matrimonial consent, impediments to marriage, divorce and birth control (contraception) ultimately comes back to this stance of questioning the divine authorship of the marriage contract.

### Free and firm act of the will

Although marriage is, therefore, of divine origin, the human will also enters matrimony in a way so intimate that without it the marriage would be null and void. In every marriage only two people join together in a conjugal union, so that unless they give their mutual consent they are not really married. This *free act of the will*, by which each party hands over and accepts those rights proper to the state of marriage, is so essential that it cannot be supplied by any human power. Few aspects of marriage in the Western world are more illustrative of the influence of Christianity than the assumed liberty of a man and woman to choose their own life-long spouse.

However, while the Christian enjoys perfect freedom about entering marriage or marrying this particular person, once he enters the marital state, the duties he assumes are entirely independent of his free will, so that after marriage the husband and wife are subject to the divinely made laws that govern matrimony. Nevertheless, the union is entered willingly and this fact joins the contracting parties and knits them together more directly and more intimately than their bodies; what unites them is not a passing affection of passion or feeling but a deliberate and *firm act of the will*. From this union of wills there arises, by God's decree, a sacred and inviolable bond. Hence the nature of the marriage contract, which is unique in human relations, makes it entirely different both from the sexual union of animals which is entered by blind instinct without free choice, and from the promiscuous unions among human beings, which enjoy none of the rights or privileges of family life.

### Sacred contract

Marriage is a *sacred contract*, having been established by God as the natural means of uniting a man and woman, and of procreating and educating His sons and daughters. Indeed, in some way, even before Christ's elevation of marriage to the dignity of a Sacrament, it was a contract involving not merely two human persons, but God Himself. Hence, it is given the special name of *covenant* to indicate how, from

its institution at the beginning of human history, it typifies both the covenant between God and the entire human race, and in particular, the covenant between Christ and the Church (cf. *Ephesians* 5:21-32).

It takes three to enter marriage according to Catholic Christian standards: husband and wife each contribute their free consent, and God contributes His share. From Him comes the very institution of marriage, the purpose for which it was made, the laws which govern it, the grace to live in accord with those laws, and the blessings which only He can give. From man and woman comes a generous surrender of their persons to one another for the whole span of life, thus becoming, with God's help, the authors of each particular marriage and cooperators with Him in the advancement of mankind.

### 3. Marriage Raised to the level of a Sacrament

There were no true Sacraments before the coming of Christ. Consequently, marriage was not a Sacrament in the Old Testament. This is not to deny that already before the time of Christ, marriage was considered something holy, a natural sacrament or sign of divine love. But the essence of a Sacrament is *to confer the grace it signifies*. With the Incarnation, marriage became a sign twice over.

1. It became the sign of the close union between God and man—in other words, a symbol of the Incarnation.
2. It became the sign of the close union between Christ and the Church (cf. *Eph.* 5), and the sign of grace which the Incarnate God was now conferring on His married followers. This grace is nothing less than the grace of such selfless love between the spouses as Christ made possible by His own selfless love for us by dying on the Cross for our salvation.

It is an Article of *revealed* Faith that Christ raised marriage to the level of a Sacrament. What should be emphasized is that this is an Article of *divine* Faith. In other words, we must believe it

as part of God's revelation to the human race. It is a dogma and no mere theological conclusion, or less still, a form of theological speculation.

When we say that Christ *personally* elevated marriage to a Sacrament, we mean several things:

- He did so during His visible stay on earth.
- He did not merely found the Church which then, after Christ's Ascension, elevated marriage to a Sacrament of the New Law.
- He laid down the essentials of marriage as a Sacrament, namely its being:
  - 1) a divinely instituted source of grace for baptized married people;
  - 2) monogamous, with only one man and one woman;
  - 3) lifelong partnership;
  - 4) a union of selfless and procreative love.

### Sacred Scripture and Sacred Tradition

As Catholics, we recognize two sources of Divine Revelation: Sacred Scripture and Sacred Tradition. We know from Sacred Tradition that the Sacraments were instituted by Christ personally and immediately during His visible stay on earth. At the same time, Our Lord determined the necessary conditions for their administration and conferral. Only Christ can infallibly join grace to the performance of a sensible sign. What we do not know is WHEN during Our Lord's visible stay on earth they each were instituted. Consequently, we do not expect to find *explicit evidence* in the Bible for Christ's institution of all the Sacraments.

In historic Protestantism, not all seven Sacraments are recognized. In general, the only Sacraments that are recognized by Protestants are Baptism and the Eucharist, the celebration of which they call the Lord's Supper. Those who hold that Scripture alone (*Sola Scriptura*) is the source of Revelation, maintain that the Sacraments whose institution is not explicitly

recorded in Scripture (that is, all of them except Baptism and the Eucharist) were instituted by the Church. Therefore, it is wrongly reasoned that they are not true Sacraments. Historically, it is the position of many Protestant churches that only Baptism and the Eucharist give grace of themselves—because there is Biblical evidence of their having been instituted by Christ. Furthermore, they claim, all the other Sacraments can be modified by the Church, because, strictly speaking, there is no Biblical evidence of their institution by Christ.

It is here especially that the Church's faith in the communication of Divine Revelation, not only in the inspired Word of God, the Bible, but also in Sacred Tradition, is so important. We must insist that Christ instituted all seven Sacraments, even those not found *explicitly* in Sacred Scripture. Understanding this is, fundamentally, a liberation from the darkness which prevents us from seeing Christ, Who is so close to us, for instance, in the Eucharist. Part of the ignorance and irreverence found in our Catholic churches today is ultimately the consequence of the false proposition that we can only know God's will by reading Sacred Scripture. This is *private judgment* (the notion that each of us has the authority to interpret Scripture) in one of its worse forms. Private judgment allows people to draw erroneous conclusions. For example, if they don't believe that Christ instituted the Sacrament of Holy Orders, they may conclude that women can be ordained. Furthermore, they separate the institution of Holy Orders from the institution of the Eucharist. As a consequence of making Scripture alone the basis for believing in the Sacraments, it is not even possible to believe correctly in Baptism or the Eucharist.

Hence, the New Testament Scriptures do not *explicitly* declare that Christ instituted the Sacrament of Matrimony. But this, as previously noted, is precisely one of the basic principles of Catholicism that not everything which Christ revealed is found explicitly in the New Testament Bible (cf. *John* 21:25). In the Church's revealed Tradition, however, from apostolic times, she has always held that

Christian marriage belongs to the essence of a Sacrament. Over the centuries, the Church has more than once solemnly taught as an Article of Faith that Christ instituted the Sacrament of Matrimony. This teaching was formally defined by the Council of Trent in the sixteenth century. It declared, “If anyone shall say that matrimony is not truly and properly one of the seven Sacraments of the law of the Gospel, instituted by Christ our Lord, but was invented by men and does not confer grace, let him be anathema.”

By divine law, marriage is a Sacrament which confers on two baptized<sup>1</sup> people who marry, all the graces they need for a lifetime to fulfill the duties of their state in life and to assist them in the grave duty to help each other grow in holiness and attain Heaven. Specifically, they receive an increase of sanctifying grace, which includes the virtues of faith, hope and charity; they are able to receive a series of actual graces which are available only through the Sacrament of Matrimony: light for the mind and strength for the will, the capacity to remain faithful to each other, grow in mutual love, and rear their children in the love and fear of God. Thus, by means of the Sacrament of Matrimony, God provides for the spiritual and temporal well-being of a husband and wife and their children, and thus enables people who are inclined toward selfishness and hardness of heart, to become *selfless* and *loving*.

#### 4. The “Ends” of Marriage

Few aspects of matrimony are more widely misunderstood than its purpose, mainly because of the widespread custom of contraception and divorce, both of which contradict essential

elements of marriage as understood by Christians since the time of Christ. Briefly stated, the primary purpose of marriage is the generation and upbringing of children, and the secondary is to offer mutual help in fostering love between husband and wife and aiding each other in the control of the sexual appetite and their emotional life.<sup>2</sup>

##### 1) Procreation, Care and Education of Children

There are two places in Scripture, both in *Genesis*, where these two ends or functions are touched upon. In the narrative of creation, the making of the first couple is the crowning work of God's creative power, which may be seen from the careful parallelism in the various stages of reproduction. The trees and plants are said to have borne their seed according to their species, and they bore fruit having within it seed. Furthermore, the animals are commanded to increase their number. Finally, Adam and Eve receive the solemn injunction to multiply and fill the earth. Throughout the creation epic is the idea of life being transmitted through the obligation to perpetuate and develop the human family (*Genesis* 1:26-31).

##### 2) Mutual Love

In the second chapter of *Genesis*, the situation is different. God created Adam before he formed Eve; on which the divine observation is made that "it is not good for man to be alone." Eve comes into existence in order to be a companion of Adam and "a helper like himself." Adam recognizes in her what he had been looking for before, one who was bone of his bone, flesh of his flesh, for whom his posterity were to leave father and mother. On authority from God, they

<sup>1</sup>Non-baptized people who marry, although they do enter into a true natural marriage, do not receive the Sacrament of Matrimony because only validly baptized people are able to receive any of the Sacraments. As the *Catechism of the Catholic Church* teaches, Baptism opens “the door which gives access to the other sacraments” (#1213). Both parties must be baptized for them to receive the Sacrament of Matrimony because they confer the Sacrament to each other. When only one party (or neither) is baptized neither the bride nor the groom receive the Sacrament.

<sup>2</sup> The 1983 *Code of Canon Law* did not give a hierarchical order of the essential elements of marriage as did the 1917 *Code*. In the 1917 *Code*, procreation and education of offspring were the primary ends of marriage and the good of the spouses which includes mutual help and remedy of concupiscence were secondary. This is still the perennially valid teaching of the Church.

were to be "two in one flesh," so that mutual assistance, through love and a shared life, is a correlative function of marriage (*Genesis 2:7-25*).

**1. Procreation, Care & Education of Children**

Under pressure from their critics, Catholic theologians have sometimes been tempted to question the primacy of procreation of children in married life. But as often as the issue comes up, the traditional position is upheld and reiterated, that the first reason why God instituted marriage was to have children born into the world and reared in His knowledge and love. Thus in 1944, the Holy Office, now called the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, in answer to an inquiry, repeated the familiar teaching. Some years later, Pius XII further clarified the doctrine.

“As a natural institution and in virtue of the will of the Creator, marriage has for its first and intimate purpose not the personal perfection of husband and wife but the procreation and formation of new life (through education). The other ends of marriage are certainly willed by nature, but they have not the same excellence as the first and much less are they superior to it. In fact, they are essentially subordinate” (Pius XII, Address of October 29, 1951).

Some people are scandalized at the intransigence of this doctrine, partly because they do not understand its meaning. To say that begetting children and providing for their nurture and care is primary means that nature has provided that, unless the spouses interfere with the generative organs, children will normally be conceived and eventually born. The "first" purpose here is the same as the *direct* purpose, the end that is *built-into* our human powers, the *physical terminus* to which sexual intercourse leads if both parties are fertile and no hindrance is placed in the way.

We might compare this with the appetite for food. It is common knowledge that nourishment of the body and giving it sustenance are the primary end of eating. This is the physical and

immediate function that taking food is supposed to provide. It does not mean that every time I eat I overtly (and before all else) decide "I am going to eat in order to sustain my body and give myself the nourishment I need." What it means is that the immediate purpose of eating, insured by the laws of nature, is to support bodily strength and physical life. This function is primary because any other purpose, like enjoyment from taking food, presupposes it. In other words, the objective reason why people eat (whether they advert to this or not) is to maintain life and health of body; it is not to get pleasure out of eating, which is only a means that nature uses to guarantee that people might be attracted to eating at all. If we lacked the appetite for food and drink, we would soon starve from under-nourishment or weaken our bodies to the point that any disease would carry us off in death.

The first end of marriage, therefore, has been made clear by nature in the complementary differences between the two sexes and has confirmed the mandate of the Author of nature to increase and multiply.

Not poets and homilists alone, but everyone who seriously reflects on the mystery of life has extolled the grandeur of this task of procreation. The word itself suggests that it means collaborating with the creativity of God Himself. In other productions of human power and genius, we leave the mark of ourselves on whatever we do, but in parenthood we enter into the heart of creation and become co-authors with God of the person we produce. God must indeed create each soul individually, yet even His almighty power will not be exercised unless and until two human beings furnish the bodily conditions which enable God, so to speak, to infuse a human spirit into the body we prepare.

We refer to a recent or impending birth as a "happy event," as though to emphasize that nature itself is pleased at obeying the law of God. When Christ spoke of the woman who forgets all her pain once her child is born (*John 16:21*), He was saying that birth is joyful precisely because it means the attainment of a goal, since every goal

achieved is a natural source of joy. When a child is born, something eternal has been made, something sacred has been reached. Even if a child should die on the day of his birth, faith tells the parents he is born in eternity. A birth is always a success, an accomplishment. The joy it brings comes from God; not even death can rob that joy from those who completed a divine and imperishable work by bringing an immortal personality out of nothing into the world.

Large families are thus encouraged by the Church's Magisterium. This stands in stark contrast to the policy of so many secularized nations where so-called "planned parenthood" is really "planned limitation of children" to conform to the paganized philosophy of once-Christian nations.

Procreation, however, is more than producing a child. It seals the marriage union and brings it to fruition; it seals the marriage because the child is made to the image of his father and mother, as well as of God. The child is not just a child but, to each of the parents, he is the gift the other has given, the fruit of their love. He is a living expression of their mutual generosity. Through the child, the "two-ness" of marriage is changed and develops into a "three-ness;" as in God. Sexuality, it has been said, is duality. Love is always a trinity.

**Care and Education**

Too often people separate the two parts of the primary end of marriage, as though begetting offspring were the only goal. The primary function of matrimony is twofold, both *generation* and *education*, and not the first alone. Really two kinds of begetting are involved, the one *physical* by delivering a human being, and the other *spiritual* by training the child to know and love the truth and thereby save his soul.

Suppose God had not given parents the power and right to educate their children, but only the power to beget them. He would then have failed to make sufficient provision for children born, and so for the whole human race. Children, as we have seen, are incapable of providing wholly for

themselves, even in things pertaining to the natural life, and much less in the myriad needs of the supernatural life of grace. For many years they have to be helped, instructed and educated by others.

The law of conscience, written in the heart of man, teaches that the right and duty to educate offspring belongs in the first place to those who began the work of nature by giving them birth; they are in fact forbidden to leave this task unfinished and so expose it to certain ruin. In the state of matrimony, the best possible provision has been made for the training of children because the parents are bound together by an indissoluble bond; the care and mutual help of each is always at hand. Both parents are necessary for the full, well-rounded development of the child.

**Role of Mothers**

Not a few mothers sometimes get overinvolved in complex theories and methods, or confused by the conflicting advice they read and hear. Child psychologists remind them that nature meant them to have children, and that they are endowed with a maternal instinct that will develop along with their child. As a human being, a child desires warmth and acceptance, attention and love. These are always the "right things" for him.

Certainly there is no one simple formula for rearing children, because people are individuals, whether babies or adults. While experience may help, lack of it should not be a handicap, otherwise the first-born would regularly be the worst trained! For the consolation of mothers, infants are an emotionally hardy lot and not easily harmed by occasional mistakes.

A child develops physically and emotionally. Nowadays it is agreed that both kinds of development begin at birth and are closely related. In fact, studies show the important influence of the attitude of parents upon the child who is physically developing in the mother's womb from the moment of conception.

The physical aspect covers not only growth of body, but also coordination of muscles and

nerves, development of the brain and thought processes, and learning to recognize people and things around him. Emotional development includes the whole complex of desires and appetites, and concerns the child's reactions about things, people and situations. It means the development of affection, dependence on others, independence and freedom, fears and anxieties, likes and dislikes, confidence in himself and in the world about him. The two kinds of growth work together and affect each other.

A mother should know that her baby learns a great deal about the world in the first few weeks of life, before he can focus his eyes, recognize anything or anyone, or do a thing for himself. He learns to feel that it is a friendly, comfortable place where he will be welcome and happy, and that it can sometimes be strange, frightening and cold. He feels, at a remarkably early age, how much he "belongs," and it is the mother's privilege to foster this feeling from conception and birth on through infancy and into childhood. For the first weeks and months, the mother is her baby's world; she does not cease to be the most important part of that world in the years that follow. No matter how awkwardly she may fulfill her role, the feeling of love comes to her child from the kindly, attentive way she cares for him. The development of her natural maternal feelings, as she fills his needs, will make him sense that he is loved, and he will feel safe and secure.

Mothers cannot overestimate their importance to their children, not just or mostly for physical care, but for the feelings and sentiments they transfer to him or create in him. So the logical starting point for good mothering is to consider oneself and one's feelings and ideals. These should be deep and high, and the principles that govern them sound; they will be communicated to a child years before he ostensibly reaches the age of reason, not by formal instruction or training so much as by example and that mysterious, almost mystical, medium of exchange called a mother's love.

### **Role of Fathers**

Less familiar is the role of the father in the education of his children, perhaps because the mother is normally closer to home and traditionally considered the teacher in the family. Yet the father is in many ways indispensable; his function is not only to supplement the mother's care but to contribute his own proper gifts. There are certain facets of personality that grow and develop in a home only where the father is playing his various roles effectively.

His first contribution is to father the children through their mother. His strength and power have the faculty of passing through the mother to the children. He helps to keep her in the mood for her maternal task by consistently providing material comfort and security for her through his labor. She is thus assured freedom from anxiety about food, clothing and shelter. By showing interest in her activity, creativeness and work, he furnishes what she needs for physical and emotional stability.

In addition to this vicarious "fathering" of the child, the husband has a more distinctive role to play. Comparable to the affection he shows his wife, the loving attention he gives the infant early in life will awaken a corresponding interest in the infant toward him. At first it may be only a dim awareness, but it is an important one. Boys and girls are alike in this respect. They develop their earliest and most decisive ideas about masculinity from their fathers. He evokes a process in the child which psychologists call "animating the mind" toward the male sex. Delay in enthusiasm on his part makes it harder than ever to create the depth of relationship that a child needs to grow into an emotionally healthy person.

One mistake often made is the tacit assumption that the father should avoid all sovereignty over the girl members of the family, as though the latter belonged by right only to the mother. The result can be a one-sided feminine personality that has never felt real nearness and understanding in their relations with men

because this dimension of a father's care had been neglected (or avoided) in childhood.

In the next stage of development, the grade school child tends to be unsure of himself, especially when, to a large extent, he is under the direction of women. Boys and girls are not unlike in this matter. They can easily grow to resent the imposed learning process where they are surrounded by women almost to the exclusion of men. With mother at home and the woman teacher at school, both urging children to learn and progress, they are liable to feel smothered by women. Boys in the lower grades are especially afraid of being effeminate, and whatever lack of docility they have is aggravated by a fear or even refusal to accept the female orientation of mother and teacher as to what constitutes acceptable behavior or moral conduct. Here the father can help to explain and teach, by word and example, the validity of practices which the boy may suspect are only feminine idiosyncrasies.

Specialists in the field suggest there are at least seven variants to the father's characteristic part in the education of his growing family.

- 1) He is above all a companion and inspiration for the mother; if she lacks this, the children may suffer radically from that essential ingredient which is loosely called security but which covers every facet of psychological development.
- 2) The father is moreover an awakener of the emotional potentialities of his children; his role of active element in procreation carries on into education by evoking latent powers and stimulating mental and moral energies that might otherwise never come to light.
- 3) He is meant to be a faithful friend and teacher to his sons and daughters, in a way that a mother can only, with difficulty, ever be; the native rationality of a mature-minded man is supposed to balance the more affectionate and emotional contribution of the mother.

- 4) A father is meant by nature to become the "ego-ideal" for masculine love, ethics and morality. What he is and does will be reflected in his children, and their attitudes towards men will be strongly conditioned by the image of fatherhood which boys and girls see in their own fathers.
- 5) Rising a step higher, even their concept of God will be colored by what they know of such qualities as justice, strength of character, kindness, prudent foresight and solicitude in the parent whom they call father.
- 6) Correspondingly, the father is meant to be a model for his children's social and vocational behavior. "Like father, like son," is not only a proverb. It intimates how deeply human conduct is shaped by immediate patterns of morality, and how instinctively a child imitates the one whom he has come to recognize as head of the family.
- 7) The stabilizing influence of a father comes not only from his dominant position, but from the numerous elements which nature has implanted in him and which experience develops to the well-being of the children. Where this is missing, the consequences in stunted personality and moral deviation among boys and girls are common knowledge. Nothing can quite supply for the multiple role of a father as protector and mentor, counselor and loyal friend to his children.

Mother and father are differently made by nature for different, albeit complementary, functions in the upbringing of their sons and daughters. Personality development is a complicated affair into which elements from both sexes must enter in order to produce the right measure of strength and tenderness, wisdom and intuition, reason and emotion, control and generosity.



## 2. Mutual Love

The ideal of married love was delineated by Saint Paul when he told the Ephesians, "Husbands, love your wives as Christ also loved the Church," that Church which He embraced with a boundless charity not for His own self-advantage but seeking only the good of His spouse (*Ephesians* 5:25; *Colossians* 3:19). Consequently, the love that marriage is intended to foster between husband and wife is not the passing lust of the moment nor romantic sentimentality, but that deep internal attachment of heart which is expressed in action and proved in generous deeds.

At the center of this marital love is the prior commitment that husband and wife made to accept each other, "for better, for worse, in sickness and in health, until death do us part." They are not simply two people who agreed to live together for a common purpose. They are changed internally by their mutual dedication to form a new entity, not unlike the newness that exists since the Incarnation when God took upon Himself our nature, and became wedded to humanity in a union so close that we call the Church the Mystical Body of Christ (cf. *Rom* 12:4-5; *1 Cor* 12:12-27; *Eph* 3:6; 5:23; and *Col* 1:18, 24).

To the natural man it seems unreal to compare married love with the divine charity that exists between Jesus Christ and His Church. Yet precisely here is the hidden meaning of Christian marriage which those who lack the Faith do not understand. There are certain qualities to this charity that distinguish it from every other virtue, and when fostered between husband and wife, make their union the nearest thing on earth to the joys of Heaven.

True marital love is absolutely *selfless* and profoundly *respectful*; it seeks not its own but the welfare of the spouse, and it respects the dignity of the other person according to his sex, position in the family and nobility in the sight of God. The marks of selfless love are more easily experienced than defined, yet certain qualities are commonly recognized.

*Love* is a deep, ardent, and devoted affection for another person which delights in and seeks to be in that person's presence. It constantly tries to advance the other's good. In the words of Saint Thomas Aquinas "To love is to will the good of another" (S.Th. I-II, 26, 4, *corp. art.*, cited in CCC 1766). The happiness of the one I love is a source of my own great joy. Love rises above the initial urge that comes of physical attraction.

*Total self-giving* is the ideal towards which every Christian marriage should tend. Unfortunately, the current obsession of media, entertainment, and literature with sex and the fetish made of romantic feelings have obscured the real meaning of love. Movies and television, best-sellers and a flood of tabloids have created a false image that haunts many young people as they enter marriage. They have come so to identify sentimentality and sex with marital love, that once the feeling of "being in love" passes away, the marriage itself is suspect and disintegration sets in. Instead of seeing love for what it really is, *an unselfish union of wills*, they equate it with a subtle egoism that wants only self-gratification. When this cannot be further satisfied, then separation, divorce, another try, and the cycle starts over again.

Marriage counselors and psychologists are finally alerting people to the tragic inversion of values, which are fast approaching the opposite extreme of what the Church means by mutual love as one of the ends of marriage. *Sexual gratification* is a familiar substitute. What many persons do is to draw a moral conclusion from a rule of popular psychology:

"It is gratifying and therefore healthy and natural to indulge the sex appetite when you feel like doing so; and frustrating, and therefore unhealthy and unnatural, not to."

Correspondingly when the appetite wanes, love wanes, so that the barometer of love in marriage is the degree of pleasure husband or wife derive from their sexual relations.

Until recent years, marriage was to unite the family by tying people together “until death do us part” in spite of the fickleness of their emotions. The authority of Church and State was to guarantee that they kept a promise voluntarily made, but binding, and that could not be unmade. It was left to present-day theorists to invert this order. Where Christianity looks upon marriage as a means of furthering selfless giving, secularism says it is a way of selfish getting. Once a man or woman stops getting what they want, they part company and try again elsewhere.

By Christian standards, the principal effect of love is to unite the wills of those who love, so as to make of them but one and the same will. They sublimate their own preferences in favor of those whom they love, and sacrifice their own pleasures in order to give pleasure to the one loved. When a person truly "falls in love" his whole center changes. Up to that point he may probably have referred everything to himself and considered things from his own advantage. When he falls in love the whole thing is shifted: he becomes a part of the circumference, so to speak, while someone else becomes the center. For example, things he hears and sees are referred instantly to this other person; he ceases to be covetous. His entire life is pulled sideways, if it is truly love; he desires more to share than to receive.

It is this instinctive "otherness," born of true charity, which marriage is meant to develop with the passage of time. The very differences in character between the married spouses are to serve as stimuli for greater self-giving and occasions for growth in mutual love.

**Husband is the Head, Wife is the Heart of the Family**

One facet of love in marriage that scandalizes even some Christians is the duty it imposes on both parties to respect their spouse's dignity, which is easy enough to describe in theory but more difficult to carry out in practice. The husband is by nature and grace intended to be the head of the family. His wife expects this of him,

and society needs, more than ever, husbands who understand their position without abusing it.

Those who criticize the Christian concept of marriage regularly point to the degrading role that wives are said to assume if they build their marriage on the Church's principles. Nothing could be further from the truth. The Church recognizes that no society can long exist without final authority to govern those who belong to it. There cannot be two supreme authorities in the family; it must be either father or mother. All that we know of human nature and history shows that this authority belongs by right to the father. A wife instinctively wants to give such authority to her husband. She desires someone on whom she can rely, notably in times of crisis. She feels the need of such decisiveness and ability to bear responsibilities as a man is expected to have. She is justly proud of her husband when she finds him in many ways her superior, and she prefers to look up to the man she loves and follow his leadership, while deferring to his judgment and care.

Coventry Patmore, a sharp defender of Saint Paul's precept about wives obeying their husbands, felt that many marriages fail because of failure to follow this law:

“Most of the failures in marriage, come of the man's not having manhood enough to assert the prerogatives which it is the woman's more or less secret desire to acknowledge. She knows her place, but does not know how to keep it unless he knows it also; and many an otherwise amiable woman grows restless and irritable under the unsupportable doubt as to whether she has got her master.”

Of course, this “subjection” of wife to husband does not deny or take away her liberty, which belongs to her as a human being, wife, mother and companion. It does not bid her to obey every request of her husband, if not in harmony with morality or with the respect due to her as wife. She enjoys full liberty of conscience and every right to honor. At the same time her position cannot ignore the good of the family, which is a

body, and therefore must have a heart and a head. If the heart is separated from the head, only disaster can follow. As Pius XI said so well, “For if the husband is the head, the wife is the heart of the family; and as he occupies the chief place in ruling, she may claim for herself the chief place in love” (*Casti Connubii*, 27).

### **Regulated Satisfaction of the Sex Urge & Marital Rights**

More than one writer in the Christian tradition has pointed out the irrational instinct to keep our sex life segregated from God. God must not be left out of any part of married life, which applies with emphasis to the purpose of marriage in regulating the sexual passions.

Superficially, the Church may seem to be stingy in the matter of sex, allowing no intercourse outside of marriage, no contraception and no remarriage after divorce under penalty of grave (mortal) sin. In actuality, the Catholic faith glorifies sex in these very prohibitions by placing it on the high level where it belongs, and seeking to insure that those who have a right to marital intercourse may enjoy it to the full.

At the outset, it should be stated that everything in the Catholic religion teaches that marital intercourse is morally good and even virtuous. God so created the two sexes to make intercourse possible. He has attached to it great pleasure, not only physical but also psychological. He commanded Adam and Eve (and through them all married people) to increase and multiply through sexual relations. In a famous passage of Saint Paul, the Apostle formally approves coitus:

“Let each man have his own wife, and let each woman have her own husband. Let the husband render to the wife her due, and likewise the wife to the husband ...Do not deprive each other, except perhaps by consent, for a time, that you may give yourselves to prayer; and return together again lest Satan tempt you because you lack self-control” (*1 Corinthians 7:2-7*).

Finally, on this one act depends the survival of the human race, hence what is so important must also be very noble.

But if intercourse is licit, should full enjoyment of sexual pleasure in marriage be sought? Is it not wrong to indulge the satisfaction that comes from intercourse? Catholic morality teaches that coital pleasure for the married is not only licit but can even be virtuous, and any other estimate of matrimony is untrue. The relations which husband and wife enjoy tend to appease their sex desires and leads to prudent moderation if rightly used: it engenders serenity of feelings and cheerfulness in the whole personality and is a recognized tonic for the nervous system and a healthy release for the faculties.

Moralists explain that marital intercourse is lawful for the procreation of children or for other honorable reasons. Such reasons would be the promotion of mutual love and affection, restoration or maintaining of peace, avoidance of unchastity either in oneself or one's spouse. In a word, any reasonable motive that husband and wife may have is enough for having intercourse, and not only the unique purpose of conceiving a child, as long as the couple is open to conception. Therefore, marital relations are permitted, with no limitations, to the married who are certainly incapable of begetting children, like the aged or sterile - whether the sterility is permanent or merely temporary.

Few personal problems are of deeper concern to men and women today than the sexual adjustment in marriage. No easy solution for sexual adjustment in marriage can be given. But from the moral viewpoint, the principle both parties must keep in mind is that, instead of seeking personal sexual satisfaction, each should try first to consider the other in order that perfect mutuality be achieved. Fundamentally this means that the whole marital experience, from foreplay to the sexual climax, becomes essentially the same for both husband and wife, and brings the same enduring satisfaction to both.

Unless both spouses, especially the husband, are aware of the need for adjustment to the other,

what nature intends as a symbol and expression of oneness will become a source of tension, then of misunderstanding and finally of opposition. Sexuality as it appears in acts merely to satisfy one's own demands tends to be cruel and heartless. No amount of learned theorizing can change what experience proves, that selfishness in carnal intercourse is the most notorious enemy of marital stability.

Nowhere else, perhaps, does the need for internal affection appear more urgent than in the exercise of the marriage rights. God's first demand of the act of coitus is that it be based on real love. The "crime of non-love" is ultimately at the basis of all broken marriages. Indeed, sexual pleasure does not depend so much on intensity as it does on internal depth. Some theologians do not hesitate to say that in God's first plan for the human race, before Original Sin, this pleasure would have been even greater because it was more selfless and more filled with love.

A physical act of love that does not begin in the soul of husband and wife is a lie, an act of treason, like Judas' kiss. It degrades the married persons and, so far from uniting them, brings on a separation between their souls. Looking for sexual stimulation for its own sake, apart from love, means that a person never gets out of himself and invests him with a dignity which he does not really deserve. The physical act of love is ennobling, but only on condition that it has a spiritual dynamism, born of mutual affection. When thus animated, intercourse unites two people in a bond that Scripture compares to Christ's union with mankind, and that, experience proves, will sublimate the irrational drive of concupiscence with a control that only those who live by these principles can understand.

### 5. The "Goods" of Marriage

Saint Augustine originated a list of three "goods" of marriage (his "classical" goods are the first three listed below). The "goods" are properties which distinguish the marital covenant from any other type of relationship between two persons. There are four goods or "bona" that are distinguished today:

- 1) *The bonum fidei* – the good of the exclusive marital fidelity or unity of the marital relationship (a monogamous relationship between one man and one woman).
- 2) *The bonum sacramenti* – the good of the irrevocable permanence of the marriage (the unbreakable character of indissolubility of the marital bond).
- 3) *The bonum prolis* – the good of the fruitfulness of the union (openness to the procreation and education of children).
- 4) *The bonum coniugum* – The good of the spouses, which is the sum of the three goods.

As qualities inherent to the institution of marriage itself, in virtue of the natural law, the "goods" are common to all marriages, not only those of Catholics. We have covered the "goods" of procreation and education of children and the good of the spouses; we will now cover the *essential* properties of marriage (built into marriage by God), which are the "goods" of *unity* and *indissolubility* (see canon 1056).

#### Unity of Marriage

*Unity of marriage* refers to *marital fidelity*, that is, the exclusivity which is characteristic of the marriage contract, whereby the total joining of one life with another in conjugal love involves only one and the same man and only one and the same woman. There can be only one husband and one wife united, described by Christ's words, "in one flesh." This means:

- marital fidelity between husband and wife, which forbids adultery;
- mutual respect of each other's dignity, which forbids any unnatural sexual activity, or sodomy; and
- the practice of natural intercourse that is open to life, which forbids contraception.

Another name for marital fidelity is *conjugal chastity* in marriage. What needs to be stressed is that chastity is a universal virtue, binding on all human beings in every state of life. For married people, chastity means that they are to enjoy their

God-given privilege of marital intercourse, but only between themselves. Moreover, even between themselves they practice chastity only if they do not deliberately interfere with conception. This, too, is marital fidelity, where contraception is infidelity. How is using contraception marital infidelity? It is infidelity because the spouse is enjoying the pleasure of sexual intercourse but for selfish reasons, without that openness to life which is an integral part of the marital embrace. Rather than loving one's spouse, this is actually using one's spouse for one's own sexual gratification. In other words, contraceptive intercourse between married spouses is a lie, and "*ceases to be an act of love*" (John Paul II, *Theology of the Body*, 123:6 (emphasis original)).

**Indissolubility of Marriage**

Indissolubility of marriage refers to the permanence of marriage; it means that the bond of a valid natural or sacramental marriage cannot be broken by the spouses, except by the death of either party. In other words, once married, the spouses cannot end the marriage on their own initiative; the marriage bond cannot be dissolved, whether because of incompatibility, or even tragic and painful circumstances such as marital infidelity (adultery).

In order to make as clear as possible what the Church means by indissolubility we should distinguish two forms of indissolubility: *internal* and *external*.

1. Internal (intrinsic) indissolubility means that a valid marriage cannot be dissolved from within by any action or will of the spouses. What unites a couple in marriage is a deep interior bond of which Christ who is God, is the Author.
2. External (extrinsic) indissolubility means that no mere human authority can dissolve, with a right to remarry, a valid

sacramental consummated marriage or a valid natural marriage (also called a legitimate marriage or a nonsacramental marriage because one or both parties are not baptized).

ALL marriages are internally indissoluble. NOT ALL marriages are externally indissoluble; some marriages can sometimes be dissolved by a competent Church authority or by certain conditions in Church law.<sup>3</sup> This will be discussed in the section titled, "Pauline and Petrine Privileges."

There is only one marriage that is both intrinsically and extrinsically indissoluble, that is a *valid sacramental consummated* marriage. It "can be dissolved by no human power and by no cause, except death" (canon 1141).

One of the crucial differences between the Catholic and Protestant idea of marriage is the unity and life-long stability on which the Catholic Church has always insisted, even at the price of heavy loss in membership. As early as 1520, or three years after his breach with Rome, Martin Luther argued against the indissolubility of the marriage bond. Though he regarded divorce as injurious to Christian policy and the State, he said that adultery is an immediate ground for divorce with liberty to remarry. Later on, he proposed willful desertion as a second ground for divorce. Other grounds which he recognized were persistent irascibility and pronounced incompatibility of temperament. If either party cannot restrain himself, he said, "Let him (or her) woo another in the name of God."

Here was a reversal of fifteen centuries of tradition. The Council of Trent's *De Doctrina Sacramenti Matrimonii* met this challenge by a series of declarations that represent Catholic teaching on the indissolubility of marriage. The first was a positive statement, tracing the doctrine to the Old and New Testaments.

---

<sup>3</sup> Church law, as referenced in this article, is from the *Code of Canon Law* used by Latin Rite Catholics. Eastern Catholic Canon Law may contain slightly different requirements.

“The first parent of the human race, under the inspiration of the Divine Spirit, proclaimed the perpetual and indissoluble bond of matrimony, when he said, ‘This now is bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh... Wherefore a man shall leave father and mother, and cleave to his wife; and they shall be two in one flesh’ (*Genesis 2:23-24; Ephesians 5:31*).

“Christ Our Lord taught more clearly that only two persons are joined and united by this marriage bond. He referred to the final words of the quotation above as words spoken by God and said, ‘Therefore now they are no longer two, but one flesh;’ and immediately after this, with the words, ‘what therefore God has joined together, let no man put asunder,’ He confirmed the stability of that same bond which had been declared by Adam so long before (*Matthew 19:6, Mark 10:9*) (*Enchiridion Symbolorum, 969*).”

Following this statement of fact, the Council of Trent went on to condemn the contrary teaching in two solemn definitions. It began with a crisp one-sentence statement, affirming the magisterial teaching of the Church:

"If anyone says that the marriage bond can be dissolved by reason of heresy, domestic incompatibility, or willful desertion of one of the parties, let him be anathema" (*Enchiridion Symbolorum, 975*).

Then followed a longer anathema which answered the erroneous claim that the Church cannot appeal to the Scriptures for support on the unity and stability of marriage.

“If anyone says that the Church is in error when it has taught and does teach according to the doctrine of the Gospels and apostles that the marriage bond

cannot be dissolved because of adultery on the part of either the husband or the wife; and that neither party, not even the innocent one who gave no cause for adultery, can contract another marriage while the other party is still living; and that adultery is committed both by the husband who dismisses his adulterous wife and marries again and by the wife who dismisses her adulterous husband and marries again, let him be anathema” (*Enchiridion Symbolorum, 977*).

Clearly the Catholic doctrine on monogamy is uncompromising. In 1527 when Henry VIII first proposed a divorce from his wife Catherine of Aragon, Rome knew the temper of the English king and the consequences that would follow if his divorce were not granted. Yet there was no question of backing down on the ancient tradition, which derives from the first pages of the Bible.

In summary, as long as a marriage is valid, it is by its very nature, indissoluble. However, only a *valid, sacramental and consummated* marriage is *absolutely* indissoluble. Underlying this fact is the principle of supernatural grace conferred by the Sacrament of Matrimony. Only those who have received this Sacrament have the revealed assurance of God’s grace to enable them to remain in a lifetime commitment to their marriage vows. The constant teaching of the Catholic Church over the centuries is that when both parties are validly baptized before marriage, enter into a valid marital contract of a lifelong union, intending to live together for life, and are otherwise free to marry, consummate the marriage with *natural intercourse*<sup>4</sup> even once—this sacramental bond cannot be dissolved by any human authority, whether civil or ecclesiastical (the Church). Consummation, by natural intercourse, is what seals the absolute indissolubility of sacramental marriage. The very

<sup>4</sup> Natural intercourse means a conjugal act which is suitable in itself for the procreation of offspring. Though all contraceptive measures, including chemical and physical barriers, are intrinsically evil, the use of condoms or vaginal sheaths and *coitus*

*interruptus* also prevent consummation. Other methods of artificial birth control/contraception do not prevent consummation because consummation is, in essence, the conjugal act with the deposit of the semen in the vagina. See canon 1061.

term “consummate” implies finish, complete, or finalize. The married spouses confirm their whole marital consent given during the marriage ceremony by actualizing this consent through marital intercourse.

What should be re-emphasized is that this is the teaching of the Catholic Church. The Church’s history shows that Catholicism remains secure only where there are still professed Catholics who still believe that a valid consummated sacramental marriage cannot be dissolved by any human authority.

In today’s unstable world, with divorces and remarriages, with broken families and sexual promiscuity, it is imperative that Christ’s unqualified teaching on the indissolubility of Christian marriage be firmly believed and courageously proclaimed.

**Pauline and Petrine Privileges**

As we have seen, the indissolubility of marriage refers to the permanence of marriage. The marriage bond in a valid sacramental marriage or a valid natural marriage (also called a legitimate marriage, wherein one or both spouses are unbaptized) cannot be broken by the spouses, whether because of incompatibility, adultery, desertion or heresy, except by the death of either party.

Christ, however, by His divine power, may dissolve a marriage. He may do so:

- 1) directly via the death of a spouse;
- 2) via the Pauline Privilege for natural marriages (see canon 1143);
- 3) vicariously, by delegating authority directly to the Pope, as He has done with the Petrine Privilege<sup>5</sup>. Through the Pope, Christ dissolves a natural marriage or a sacramental unconsummated marriage (see canon 1142). (Note that the Pope can

never dissolve a *consummated sacramental* marriage.)

**Marriage of two baptized spouses**

In a valid *sacramental* marriage that is unconsummated, the Pope may dissolve that marriage bond for a just cause at the request of one of the spouses (canon 1142). For instance, should one spouse, after marrying, but before consummation, decide his or her vocation is religious life or the priesthood, such a marriage may be terminated by the Pope.

**Marriage of two non-baptized spouses**

In a valid natural marriage, the marriage bond is indissoluble when both parties remain unbaptized. But when, on the baptism of one spouse, the other non-baptized spouse “departs” (meaning he or she leaves or refuses to live peacefully with the new Christian), then the marriage may be terminated by the *Pauline Privilege* (cf. *1 Corinthians* 7:12-15). In such a case, the baptized party is free to separate and seek a new spouse. If marriage ensues, the earlier marriage ends. Then, and only then, is the non-believing spouse free to marry again (canon 1143). Intervention of the Church in cases involving the *Pauline Privilege* only concerns verification of a fact, similar to an intervention to verify the fact of death which also ends a marriage. It is not the intervention of ecclesiastical (Church) authority which ends the marriage (as in the *Petrine Privilege*), but a fact consisting of two elements: the refusal of the non-Christian spouse to live peacefully with the baptized spouse, and the contracting of a new marriage by the baptized spouse. The second marriage actually dissolves the original marriage bond.

**Marriage of one non-baptized and one baptized spouse**

In the case of marriages in which one party is baptized and one is not, the marriage bond is

<sup>5</sup> With the publication of the 1983 *Code* the Petrine Privilege is referred to as “dissolved by the Roman Pontiff in favor of the faith” or dissolution in favor of the faith.

subject to dissolution, not by the spouses, nor by merely human authority, but through the exercise of divine authority through direct divine concession to ecclesiastical authority in the person of the Pope as with the *Petrine Privilege*. The Pope can dissolve a natural marriage in favor of the faith for the spiritual good of the Christian party.

By way of conclusion, none of these concessions touching termination of the marriage bond *extrinsically* involves a marriage at the highest degree of perfection, that is, *a consummated sacramental marriage*, and none of them concede any authority to the spouses to terminate their marriage, whether the marriage is sacramental or natural, valid or consummated. In this way, the permanence of marriage as God instituted it and as Christ dignified it as a Sacrament of the New Law remains intact.

**Polygamy**

God instituted marriage in Paradise as a monogamous institution but after the fall of Adam and Eve mankind soon lapsed from the original ideal of monogamy. Polygamy was widely practiced among the Egyptians and Akkadians, the Sumerians and Ugurits - all in the near East. The Patriarchs, Saul and David all had more than one wife, and the custom was recognized in Jewish Law, which implied a divine dispensation. (*Deuteronomy* 21:15-17).

Some argued that if the ancient worthies of Israel were permitted to have more than one wife, the same privilege should be allowed to Christians. But the Council of Trent declared in favor of monogamy and condemned anyone who claimed that “Christians are permitted to have several wives simultaneously and that such a practice is not forbidden by any divine law” (November 11, 1563). In the twentieth century, the Church became more explicit. Not only is polygamy forbidden to Christians but, we are told, Christ wished “to condemn any form of polygamy or polyandry.” In other words, since the rise of Christianity, polygamy and polyandry are forbidden to all human beings. The restoration of monogamy, which was originally universal, is

itself also universal (Pius XI, On Christian Marriage, *Casti Connubii*, n. 21).

Polygamous marriages are not uncommon in non-Christian societies. Among others, Islam allows a man to have four wives and believes that the permission is part of Allah’s revelation to Mohammed. Polygamists (men or women) who become Catholic, on being baptized, have the option of either marrying the first spouse or choosing one of the others while dismissing all the rest (canon 1148).

Here two observations should be made:

- 1) With the coming of Christ, He has provided the whole human race with graces to practice monogamy, such as were not available before the Incarnation.
- 2) Christ instituted the Sacrament of Baptism which enables those who believe in Him to receive the Sacrament of Matrimony. Since apostolic times, the faithful monogamous marriages of believing Catholics have been one of the main marks of credibility of the Gospel. It is precisely here that we find the rational grounds for faith in the one true religion—that its adherents are able, with the sacramental graces they receive, to practice lifelong monogamy—in contrast with other religions that do not provide these graces to their followers.

In their description of the moral life of the early Christians, the apologists of the second and third centuries were eloquent in comparing the laxity of the pagans with the monogamy of the followers of Christ. According to a second century document, "among them wise self-control is found, monogamy is observed, and chastity is preserved" (*Theophilus* of Antioch, *Ad Autolychum*, 3, 15). All the extant evidence from the early Church says the same thing.

Reflecting on the teachings of faith, theologians like Saint Thomas Aquinas explain the correctness of monogamy on two grounds:



- 1) that only such a union guarantees the fulfillment of all the purposes of marriage - procreation and education of children, fostering of mutual love, and control of the sex appetite; and
- 2) it alone is a faithful image of Christ's union with the Church, where a single head is joined together with a single spouse.

**Separation and Divorce**

Church law recognizes that circumstances can occur that make married life intolerable or even impossible. Therefore, it provides spouses the ability to cease living together but without the freedom to enter a new marriage. Separations of this kind are explained in canons 1151-1155.

The 1983 *Code* uses language that allows a variety of behaviors on the part of one spouse as justification for separation but it also specifies two basic grounds for permissible separation:

- 1) infidelity and
- 2) serious danger to the body and or the soul of the spouse or of the children.

Yet, these circumstances, no matter how serious, do not justify divorce with the right to remarry, even for the innocent party. In such cases, Canon Law supposes that one spouse is reasonably innocent and the other reasonably guilty.

From the very beginning the Church has understood that the marriage remains intact, even if one or both spouses are unfaithful to each other. Infidelity does not dissolve the marital bond. What does it do? It justifies the innocent party in a marriage to separate and to remain so even for a lifetime; although the bond remains and thus there is no freedom to remarry. So, as the Church teaches, infidelity justifies even permanent separation. Of course, the loyal spouse, in forgiving, may choose to take back the wayward spouse.

A separation for infidelity, or for physical or emotional danger, is usually the first step to a civil divorce. Oftentimes a civil divorce is the only way for spouses to secure their legal and

financial security and the duties regarding the children. The Church imposes no penalties on those who are divorced. A civil divorce has no effect on the marriage bond. It is to be understood that a civil divorce does not give them the right to marry someone else.

**6. Consent, Capacity, Canonical Form**

Because Christian marriage is sacramental, the Catholic Church has always vindicated its right to set down *the conditions* under which the Sacrament may be received:

- *who are entitled;*
- *who debarred* from this privilege;
- *under what circumstances* the marital contract is valid or invalid, licit or illicit, “healable” or beyond repair.

The conditions and circumstances necessary for a valid marriage, as required by divine and Church law, are seen in the areas of *consent, capacity* and the use of proper *canonical form*.

**A. Consent - Matrimonial Sacred Contract**

Both Christian and non-Christian marriages essentially consist in the matrimonial contract or covenant. Marriage, then, is brought about by the lawfully manifested consent of both parties. Consequently, if consent is lacking then there is no valid marriage.

Consent is considered to be of the divine (natural) law of marriage, and so it applies to all people, whether a baptized Catholic or not; it must be present for a valid marriage and cannot be dispensed. The consent that is required must be an act of the will of each of the contracting parties—free of coercion or grave external fear. This consent is succinctly defined in canon 1057 of the 1983 *Code of Canon Law*:

“A marriage is brought into being by the lawfully manifested consent of persons who are legally capable. This consent cannot be supplied by any human power. Matrimonial consent is an act of will by which a man and a woman by an irrevocable covenant mutually give and accept one another for the purpose of establishing a marriage.”

Additionally, in canons 1095-1103, the *Code of Canon Law* lists elements that make valid consent lacking, some of which will be covered in a following section titled, “Defects to Valid Consent.”

Hence, for a marriage to be valid, there must be valid consent between the contracting parties. Since the contract they enter has to be accepted by both sides, each must give an external manifestation that they give themselves and receive the other in marriage as it is understood by the Church. Words need not be pronounced, but some visible or externally perceptible sign of agreement is necessary. Since consent is an act of the will, the Church presumes that the internal consent of the will corresponds with the external words or signs that are used in their exchange of consent during the marriage ceremony.

Consent may also be given by proxy, as stated in canon 1104, §1. When marital consent is exchanged by proxy, one or both spouses cannot be present to one another and there is urgent need to marry without delay. The proxies may be of either sex. After such a proxy marriage, the spouses may later on receive the nuptial blessing from a priest. In this blessing, whether given under the normal circumstances of the couples’ being present to one another in a non-proxied ceremony, or afterward, if by proxy, the priest prays for the married couple and asks that God give them special graces, including fidelity, a long life together, and the blessing of children.

**The Marriage Bond**

When a man and woman give each other their valid consent in *Christian marriage* (both are baptized), the Holy Spirit seals their consent in the *bond of marriage*. “For the good of the spouses and their offspring as well as of society, the existence of the sacred bond no longer depends on human decisions alone” (*Gaudium et Spes*, n. 48). The covenant they have made with each other and with God signifies the mystical union of Christ with His bride, the Church; it creates a bond which is perpetual and indissoluble. It is, in fact, an expression of God’s perfect love for humanity. Surely, the

significance of the sacramental marriage bond is one of the greatest blessings of Christian marriage, and its rejection, one of the greatest curses for the entire human family. The marriage bond is perpetual and exclusive; when consummated by natural intercourse, it cannot be dissolved by any authority other than Christ. It requires fidelity (faithfulness), permanence and openness to the procreation and education of new human life.

The marriage bond in a *natural marriage* (one or both are unbaptized) does not differ from that of a sacramental marriage bond. The difference between the two forms of marriage is found in the different signification and in the different effectiveness of each. In a merely natural marriage, the bond does not signify the union of Christ and the Church, whereas, in a sacramental marriage, it does. Precisely because natural marriage does not signify this union, it also does not effect those graces and saving blessings which are effected by the Sacrament of Marriage for the baptized married couple. If one of the parties is baptized, the baptized spouse does receive special graces from God because of his or her membership in the Mystical Body of Christ, but he or she does not receive the unique graces conferred by the Sacrament of Marriage because this requires that both married parties be baptized.

In order for a natural marriage to be valid and to establish a *valid marriage bond*, in essence identical with that of a sacramental marriage bond, certain conditions must be met on their wedding day at the time of their exchange of wedding vows:

1. Both parties choose freely, with adequate knowledge and understanding of marriage as a commitment to the good of the other, which must also be a monogamous, lifelong union open to the procreation of new human life.
2. Both are of age and free to marry.
3. If one party is Catholic,
  - the marriage is contracted before an

authorized bishop, priest or deacon (or, in some rare cases, a lay person) and two witnesses;

- the Catholic party has obtained the required dispensation from the competent Catholic authority (usually the Bishop) in order to validly marry the non-baptized person.

For sacramental marriages, including secret marriages,<sup>6</sup> to be valid, the following conditions must be met on their wedding day at the time of their exchange of wedding vows:

1. Both husband and wife are validly baptized Christians.
2. Both choose freely, with adequate knowledge and understanding that marriage is a commitment to the good of the other, which must also be a monogamous, lifelong union open to the procreation and education of new human life.
3. Both are of age and free to marry without any impediments.
4. If at least one party is Catholic, then the marriage must be contracted before an authorized bishop, priest or deacon (or, in some rare cases, a lay person) and two witnesses.

For clandestine marriages to be valid, they must be permitted by Church law and meet the same first three conditions as sacramental and secret

marriages; the fourth condition is not required.

When both parties are baptized and they contract a *valid* marriage, their contract is at the same time, and *ipso facto*, a Sacrament. Thus, not only two Catholics, but two Protestants, or a Catholic and Protestant, or any two baptized Christians also receive the Sacrament of Matrimony through their valid marriage contract. The important factor is that *both* parties must be baptized for *either* of them to receive the Sacrament of Marriage. Implicit in this is the fact that marriage is a unique Sacrament. It is, by its very nature, a *mutual* Sacrament. Husband with wife, together, receive the one Sacrament of Matrimony. The bride and the groom are the recipients and the ministers of the Sacrament to each other.

Furthermore, when the Sacrament of Matrimony is validly received by two baptized persons, Catholic or not, the marriage is said to be *ratified*. A “ratified” marriage, in Catholic terminology, is the same as a “sacramental” marriage.

This language is especially useful to distinguish a sacramental (ratified) marriage from a sacramental marriage that is both *ratified* and *consummated* by an act of natural intercourse. Only the latter is absolutely indissoluble (canon 1061§1). The term “ratified” may seem strange to describe a marriage between two baptized persons. Underlying this term is a truth of faith. When two baptized persons marry, their marriage is ratified by God by giving the married spouses the guarantee of lifetime graces from the

---

<sup>6</sup>Secret marriages are those that cannot be celebrated publicly. They are contracted by means of canonical form, and a written record of them is kept by the Church. Secret marriages can be permitted by the local Ordinary “for a grave and urgent reason,” along with other provisions (see canons 1130-1133). A secret marriage may be granted, for instance, in the case in which two people have been living as husband and wife and everyone presumes that they are married, when, in fact, they are not. The couple can rectify this situation by approaching the Church and requesting a canonical marriage; however, the marriage would be celebrated secretly because a public ceremony would cause grave scandal.

Clandestine marriages are different from secret marriages, or “marriages celebrated in secret.” Clandestine marriages are contracted without canonical form and are not recorded by the

Church. They are completely private marriages and are only sometimes permitted by Church law. Clandestine marriages may occur in cases in which the marrying parties live in a totalitarian state and have no access to a priest as a witness. Under these circumstances, when it is physically and morally impossible for a couple to use the canonical form, they can validly contract a marriage. The involvement of the Church is always afterwards because of the unusual situation. If the regime falls, and they regain their freedom, it is sufficient for the spouses to come forward and say they exchanged vows on such-and-such a day and the Church would record it. Clandestine marriages are true marriages, that is, they do not lack any of the qualities of a true marriage; the only thing lacking is the canonical form, because it is impossible to have. Everything else, however, must be in order.

Sacrament of Matrimony. Always to be kept in mind, however, is that the Sacrament of Matrimony must be validly received as a precondition for receiving the sacramental marital graces from God. In addition, one must be in the state of grace to receive the graces promised to those who validly receive the Sacrament of Matrimony.

**Contraception**

The very purpose of marital intercourse is to bring children into the world and to express and foster selfless love within and between the spouses. Contraceptive intercourse is, by its very nature, selfish and unloving. It is a denial of the divinely ordained purpose of marital relations.

All forms of contraception are forbidden by the natural law even apart from divine revelation. This for several reasons:

- Contraception is selfish mutual masturbation. It is deliberate sex indulgence separated from both the fundamental purposes of marriage, that of the procreation of children and fostering mutual love between the spouses. Procreation and mutual love are inseparable in marriage. What do we mean when we say that mutual love and procreation are inseparable in marriage? We mean that “mutual love” can be an excuse for mutual selfishness. A married couple may claim they need contraceptive intercourse to foster their

mutual love. In reality, they are fostering mutual self-indulgence. How so? Because in the designs of Providence, the pleasure of marital intercourse is God’s reward (or compensation) for accepting the responsibilities of parenthood. To refuse these responsibilities while accepting God’s reward for parenthood is an injustice against God and, in effect, a form of blasphemy.

- Contraception is the seedbed of abortion. It leads to the murder of unwanted children already conceived.
- Contraception between married spouses destroys the mutual trust in the fidelity of the married partner.

Natural Family Planning (NFP), as it is popularly called, is a fairly modern development in the life of the Church; it began in the 1950’s. It relies on the periodic abstinence of married couples from intercourse during the predictable fertile period of the wife each month. What needs to be stressed is that natural family planning should not be resorted to except for serious reasons.<sup>7</sup> Another term, “supernatural family planning” is coming into use. It implies that married people are to be supernaturally motivated in their family planning. They are to rely on the supernatural sources of grace – prayer and the Sacraments – and be willing to practice, if need be, the supernatural virtue of charity in a heroic degree to witness to the power of God’s grace in modern

<sup>7</sup> Excerpt from Encyclical Letter of Pope Paul VI, *Humanae Vitae* (July 25, 1968) Sections 10 and 16.

“10. In relation to physical, economic, psychological and social conditions, responsible parenthood is exercised, either by the deliberate and generous decision to raise a numerous family, or by the decision, made for grave motives and with due respect for the moral law, to avoid for the time being, or even for an indeterminate period, a new birth.”

“16. If, then, there are serious motives to space out births, which derive from the physical or psychological conditions of husband and wife, or from external conditions, the Church teaches that it is then licit to take into account the natural rhythms immanent in the generative functions, for the use of marriage in the infecund periods only, and in this way to regulate birth without offending the moral principles which have been recalled earlier [20]. The Church is coherent with herself when she considers recourse to the infecund periods to be licit, while at the same time

condemning, as being always illicit, the use of means directly contrary to fecundation, even if such use is inspired by reasons which may appear honest and serious. In reality, there are essential differences between the two cases; in the former, the married couple make legitimate use of a natural disposition; in the latter, they impede the development of natural processes. It is true that, in the one and the other case, the married couple are concordant in the positive will of avoiding children for plausible reasons, seeking the certainty that offspring will not arrive; but it is also true that only in the former case are they able to renounce the use of marriage in the fecund periods when, for just motives, procreation is not desirable, while making use of it during infecund periods to manifest their affection and to safeguard their mutual fidelity. By so doing, they give proof of a truly and integrally honest love.”

secularized society

**Defect of Valid Consent**

Defect of consent is the most common cause of invalid marriages. There are several defects that can cause consent to be invalid, and they are listed in canons 1095-1103 of the 1983 *Code*, a few of which are as follows:

1. Lack of sufficient reason – both parties must be able to critically evaluate and to freely and wholly choose to enter into marriage with the intended spouse.
2. If there exists a grave defect of discretion of judgment concerning matrimonial rights and duties.
3. Mental inability to assume the obligations of marriage.
4. Ignorance of certain essential elements of marriage (i.e., they must know that marriage is a permanent partnership between a man and a woman, ordered to the procreation of children through their conjugal union).
5. Error of person (i.e., mistakenly marrying an unintended person).
6. Marrying under condition, force, or grave fear.

Let’s examine, more closely, three of the elements that impede valid consent: ignorance of certain essential elements of marriage, error of person and marrying under force or grave fear.

**1. Ignorance of certain essential elements of marriage.**

Every contract, to be valid, must be made with adequate knowledge and full consent to the agreement; marriage is no exception to the rule. On the score of knowledge, erroneous ideas may concern, for example, the nature of marriage and its properties. There is not much of a problem about ignorance on the nature of marriage. It is enough that both parties know that marriage is a *permanent union* of husband and wife for *generating children*. This minimal knowledge is assumed in everyone who has reached

adolescence, and the absence of such knowledge would have to be proved.

A more subtle question is error about the quality of marriage as an *exclusive* and *permanent* union. If it is a purely theoretical error, when the mistake is only in the mind and does not affect the will, then the contract stands. An error in this matter is always presumed to be merely mental until the contrary has been established. On the other hand, if besides the mistaken notion there is also a *positive act of the will*, the marriage contract is invalid. Suppose a man expressly stated that he intended to contract a *dissoluble* union. He would not be married in the judgment of the Church.

**2. Error of person**

Another area of possible error concerns the other party. If the mistake is about the *physical* identity of the person one marries, then the contract is invalid even by the natural law. Thus, if a man thinks he is marrying a certain girl, but her twin sister is substituted, the contract is null and void. The historic case of this kind was the pseudo-marriage of Jacob to the veiled Leah, whom he mistook for Rachel (*Genesis* 29:23-25). The contract, then, is null only when the error amounts to an error about the person. A situation of this kind is extremely rare.

The more common problem is that of mistakes which concern only the *quality* of the person where a man or woman marry under the mistaken notion that his or her fiancé(e) is rich, or specially gifted, or virtuous, or with a certain social or economic status - none of which would invalidate the marriage even though the most clever deception were practiced, *unless* one spouse intended to marry the other precisely *because* of these mistaken qualities. The quality in question must be objectively related to the essence of the marriage and to the harmony of conjugal life, i.e., intrinsically related to the essence, properties and ends of marriage (cf. *Code of Canon Law* 1098).

**3. Marrying under force or grave fear**

Matrimonial consent may be vitiated by violence or fear. Thus, if a woman were physically coerced to go through a ceremony with a man she

absolutely did not want to marry, the contract would be void. More common is the element of fear, which may also invalidate a marriage, but only under specified conditions. It must be a *grave* fear, caused by someone outside the person fearing, and so irresistible that the only way to escape the thing feared is to go through the marriage ritual.

A grave fear would be one induced by threats of physical violence, strong and importunate demands, constant and emotional entreaties, or prediction of permanent displeasure of parents and similar pressures. The evil feared may refer to the person who marries or to a third party, a relative, friend, or fiancé(e). In the nature of things, the usual victim of such fears is the woman.

The source of the fear must be a human agent and not some impersonal cause, and it must cause the marriage to occur. In other words, one marries because of this fear; if there was no fear, one would not marry. Again, to render the contract null, the fear would have to come from outside the one fearing, and not be caused by his own imagination, qualms of conscience or fixed ideas of any sort. The latter may, of course, have come about from this external agency, but unless there is solid, objective reason for the grave fear, it would not affect the marital contract. A girl might be deathly afraid of her father and marry the man she knows he prefers, but if the dread of her parent is mainly *self-induced*, the marriage is valid.

One case that comes up is that of a *breach of promise*, where the girl at first promised to marry a man, and may even have been engaged to him. She changes her mind and tells him so, but under duress from the man she marries him. This would invalidate the marriage. (Note that a promise to marry, made by either one or both parties, is a

serious matter. Even when the promise is not technically an engagement, it is still gravely binding in conscience when *seriously made* to the potential spouse in marriage. Consequently, there must be correspondingly grave reasons for not fulfilling the promise. There may even be grounds for reparation or compensation binding morally on the party that fails to carry out the marriage promise<sup>8</sup>. Although a person may have to make reparation, he or she cannot be forced to marry, regardless of a promise made. (See canon 1062, §2.)

**B. Capacity**

The second requirement for a valid *sacramental* marriage or valid natural marriage is that they must possess the proper *capacity* to enter into marriage. This means they must be free from *diriment impediments*, which the *Code of Canon Law* defines as facts or circumstances which “render a person unqualified to contract marriage validly” (canon 1073). Specific diriment impediments which cause a person to lack the capacity to marry validly are given in canons 1083-1094.

Some impediments are of *divine (natural) law* and some are of *Church (ecclesiastical) law*. Those of divine law apply to ALL persons, whether a baptized Catholic or not, and cannot be dispensed. Those of Church law apply only to Catholics and those who marry them, and may be dispensed by the proper Church authority (see canons 85-86).

Some of these impediments which cause a lack of capacity to marry are covered in more detail in the following section.

**Impediments Causing Lack of Capacity to Marry**

By way of prelude to the discussion of the impediments causing a lack of capacity to marry,

about a person, for example). Although much less common in our day, the root principle still holds, that some kind of reparation may be appropriate when the promise to marry is rescinded.

<sup>8</sup> Historically, there was much associated with marriage promises: reputations, “status”, etc., may have been needed to be repaired, and “damages” in some cases would have been proportionately repaid through the giving over of money. Also, honor or reputation might have needed to be restored in some fashion (the giving of a title of dignity, or publishing something

two items should be briefly touched upon: Church and State rights in marriage. It is Catholic belief that marriage between baptized persons is subject to the jurisdiction of the Church, for the simple reason that such marriages are always sacramental and, therefore, come under the Church's authority. The Church, therefore, claims the right to set down impediments or dispense with them; this affects also those who are not Catholic. Thus, Church law exempts baptized non-Catholics from the duty of marriage before a priest and two witnesses (canonical form), and from such impediments as disparity of cult (i.e., when marrying an unbaptized person, their marriage is considered valid no matter before whom they make the contract, and even though one of the parties is not baptized).

As far as State rights are concerned, civil authorities have every right to prescribe certain conditions affecting marriage on its physical, social and economic side. But beyond this, the Church believes such authority belongs by divine right to the ecclesiastical domain where baptized persons are involved. It is quite otherwise with people who are not baptized, over whom the State has the power to establish impediments and decide under what conditions their marital contract is valid or not.

**Divine and Church Law**

Any factor or circumstance which prevents the celebration of marriage is an impediment, from the Latin *impedire* (to stand in the way), and may be of two kinds: either *merely forbidding* the marriage (simply called “impediments”) or *invalidating* the marital contract (called “diriment impediments”).

- 1) The first type of impediment is merely forbidding or prohibitive. These allow the marriage to be valid, but require that permission be obtained from the proper Church authority for the marriage to be licit (lawful);
- 2) the second type of impediment is a diriment impediment (*dirimere* = to tear apart), these do not allow for the

attempted marriage to be valid, unless a dispensation has been obtained from the proper Church authority.

The circumstance which either forbids or nullifies (makes it invalid) a marriage may be public or private, known or even unknown to the parties themselves. If known, they are required to make known to Church authorities any and all impediments that may exist (canon 1069). One reason for making impediments known is that the marital contract may otherwise be invalid.

**What is the source of these impediments?**

The source of these impediments to marriage are found in:

1. *Divine (natural) law*, founded in the objective moral order and therefore binding on all persons, whether they are baptized or not, whether they marry Catholics or not; and
2. *Church (positive) law*, determined by the Church as obligating all Catholics and non-Catholics who marry them.

These two types of laws are fundamentally different: *Divine* laws were laid down by God Himself, and *Church* laws are man-made.

A divine law, established by God, mandates the Church to observe them at all times. They cannot be changed, nor can any Church authority ever dispense anyone from them. These divine laws are referred to as from the natural law.

A Church law can be changed as it is established by human authority. It can also be dispensed in a particular case at the discretion of the proper Church authority.

Very few impediments come under the divine law and all of them are diriment, thus they nullify the marital contract, namely:

1. Marriage before a person has the use of reason as they lack sufficient intellectual-emotional, psychological maturity which are necessary for marriage;
2. Impotency that exists prior to marriage and is permanent;

3. Existing valid marriage bond of a previous valid consummated marriage between two baptized persons;
4. Close relationship by blood (consanguinity in the direct line i.e., between parent and son or daughter; or in the second degree of the collateral line i.e., between brother and sister.

Since these four diriment impediments are of the divine law, they apply to all persons, whether baptized or not. Additionally, no dispensation is ever given or can be given for them.

Not all diriment impediments are equally important or practical, yet all have direct bearing on the nature of marriage and explain the Church's solicitude to safeguard a contract on whose validity depends one of the Sacraments and the consequent grace that husband and wife need to live out their married life successfully.

Let us examine a few of the diriment (invalidating) impediments in more detail.

**Diriment (Invalidating) Impediments**

**1. Immaturity of age (Church law)**

Immaturity of age is the first nullifying impediment, which Canon Law has fixed at sixteen for the boy and fourteen for the girl. They must have completed these years in order to validly marry. Concealing one's true age in order to avoid the minimum age required invalidates the marriage. Episcopal conferences can raise the minimum age, but only for *liceity*, not for validity. Filial respect, prudence, and charity recommend that children obtain their parents' approval before marrying. But there is no question of obligation, certainly no grave obligation to obtain the parents' consent as a precondition for marriage. Those persons who meet the canonical age requirements but are still very young should be *discouraged* from marriage because of the difficulties of such young people marrying, at least in our contemporary world. They may not, however, be forbidden to marry. We must also take into account that cultures differ in different countries. The lifespan in some countries is quite low, which means that if

marriage is long delayed, the children may be deprived of parental care as they grow into adolescence. And most seriously, in materially prosperous countries, people delay getting married in order to enjoy an abundance of physical comforts which can be a considerable hindrance to a selfless marital relationship.

Some people think that puberty is enough to contract a valid marriage, but that is not correct. Baptized persons do not enter a valid marriage before the specified age even though both parties have reached puberty, which is not only possible but common. On the other hand, two people contract validly if they are of age (16 and 14) even though neither has yet attained puberty. Unbaptized people are not affected by this Church legislation but they are affected by State legislation which determines the minimum age for marriage.

A subtle aspect of the age requirement specifies that a marriage does not become valid automatically, once the couple attain maturity. Unless marital consent is duly renewed the contract remains invalid.

Why the age limit, if the boy and girl are physically capable of becoming parents? The immediate reason is their psychological immaturity. Young people in their early teens are scarcely equipped mentally and culturally to cope with the manifold challenges of married life; the boy is unable to earn enough money to make a living, the girl unequipped to face the responsibilities of motherhood. Medical and genetic factors also play a part. Puberty is not a sudden physiological change, but a gradual process, with intercourse and child-bearing to be discouraged before the reproductive system is sufficiently formed. If the canonical age seems too low for a country like the United States, it should be remembered that the Church is legislating for Catholics everywhere, including countries like India and Spain, where puberty may be reached three or four years earlier than in North America.



## 2. Impotence (divine law)

Impotence is the inability to have intercourse, i.e., to perform that physical act whereby the genital organs of the man and woman are so united as naturally to lead to conception. It is therefore carefully to be distinguished from sterility, which neither invalidates marriage nor makes it unlawful; because husband or wife are incapable of having children, does not in any way affect their marital contract.

Inability to perform the sexual act may be *absolute*, when the impotency prevents intercourse with any person, or *relative* when impossible with some one person, or *permanent* if it cannot be corrected naturally or by lawful surgical means. Nowadays, with the progress of medical science, what used to be permanent impotency can become temporary, and new advances in this field are being reported annually. Only one kind of impotency invalidates marriage, if it is perpetual and if it precedes the wedding ceremony. Otherwise the marriage is valid. Consequently, if marital intercourse becomes physically impossible after marriage, the contract remains unchanged and valid. The essential element is the capacity for a man to deposit seminal fluid in the opening of the vagina. If either he or she is physically incapable of this necessary function, there is impotency, but the marriage stands unless the condition were known to exist before the wedding.

## 3. Previously Existing Marriage Bond (divine law)

A marriage is considered valid, and the marriage bond is presumed to exist, once a marriage has been lawfully celebrated. The validity of this marriage must be upheld until the contrary is proven (canon 1060). Thus, the diriment impediment of a previously existing marriage bond makes it impossible for a man or woman to marry another spouse, as long as their first union has not been dissolved (as may happened under

the Pauline privilege) or declared null by a competent Church authority.

Of course, the previous marriage must have been valid, and not a few cases which scandalize people are those in which the former marital contract had been declared null (and thus invalid) for any one of many reasons. But if the preceding marriage was valid, whether the parties are Catholic or not, Christian or unbaptized, a subsequent union remains invalid unless or until a nullity or dissolution of the prior matrimony has been legally and certainly established.

## 4. Disparity of Worship (Church law)

Disparity of worship (also called disparity of cult) is a term for the impediment which nullifies (except with a dispensation from the proper Church authority) a marital contract between an *unbaptized* person and one who is baptized in or later received into the Catholic Church. Non-Catholics who marry among themselves are not affected by this Church legislation, although apostates or those fallen away from Catholicism remain bound by the impediment. Without the dispensation, the marriage would be invalid.

The Church treats marriage with disparity of worship in a more strict way than a mixed (inter-faith) marriage of two baptized persons. An inter-faith marriage needs the express *permission* of the proper Church authority to be *licit*—which means they can marry validly without it, but disparity of worship marriages must have an express *dispensation* from this impediment for them to marry validly.

The reason for this impediment is mainly to insure the continued perseverance of the Catholic party in his or her faith, and provide for the Catholic education of the children who may be born of the marriage (see canons 1124, 1086).

## 5. Relationships

In a class by themselves are those diriment impediments which refer to various relationships within which a person may not enter marriage. Some impediments are of divine law and cannot

be dispensed, some are of Church law and can be dispensed.

**Consanguinity-Governed by Divine and Church law**

*Consanguinity* (cum + sanguine = with blood) is a *natural (biological) relationship* between two persons, one of whom is descended from the other or at least both of whom have the same common ancestor. Another name is *blood relationship*, even when the two people have only one forebear in common.

The divine law declares that any marriage is invalid if two persons are related to each other in the *direct line* in any degree. They are related in the direct line if they are directly descended one from the other. Thus, marriage is always invalid between parent and child, grandparent and grandchild, great-grandparent and great-grandchild, etc. A dispensation would never be granted for those in the direct line. For example, a father would never be allowed to marry his daughter; these relationships are so close that such a marriage is a violation of divine law.

The divine law also declares that a marriage is invalid if two persons are related to each other in the *collateral line* up to the second (brother-sister)<sup>9</sup> degree. Brothers and sisters are the clearest example of relatives in the collateral line, and they would never be granted a dispensation to marry (see canon 1078 §3).

Church law declares that any marriage is invalid if the parties are related in the *collateral line* within the third (uncle-niece) and fourth (first cousins) degree.<sup>10</sup> The collateral line means that people are descended from the same ancestor through a side-by-side relationship. One relative does not directly beget the other (as in the direct

line) but both have the same father, mother, and so on, down the line.

**Affinity-Governed by Church law alone**

Affinity is an *in-law relationship* and thus is a less close relationship than consanguinity. It arises from a valid marriage and it pertains to the wife and the blood relatives of her husband, or the husband and the blood relatives of his wife. Affinity in any degree of the direct line invalidates marriage as defined by Church law but in the Latin Rite there is no Church law against marrying in the collateral line<sup>11</sup>.

Thus, if a woman's husband dies, Church law forbids her to marry either her husband's father or grandfather, nor his son by a previous marriage, or his grandson, but she could marry (collaterally) her husband's brother, or his first cousin, or his uncle, or his nephew. (Collateral line includes brothers-in-law, sisters-in-law, nieces, nephews, cousins and other distant relatives.)

A dispensation can be granted for marriages of those in the direct line as this restriction to marry is only by Church law and not held to be of divine law.

Affinity is an impediment that affects Catholics. It does not affect the marriages of two non-Catholics, whether baptized or not.

**Dispensations from Impediments to Marriage**

As might be expected, the Church gives dispensations from the impediments to marriage only for reasons proportionate to the gravity of the obstacle and only for impediments governed by Church law. Thus, no dispensation is ever given or can be given from *diriment* impediments that come under divine law.

<sup>9</sup> There is some disagreement whether consanguinity in the second degree of the collateral line is divine law or Church law, thus the 1983 *Code* avoids the issue and says that a dispensation is never given from the impediment of consanguinity in the second degree of the collateral line; meaning that brothers and sisters cannot marry.

<sup>10</sup> There is *no dispensation at all* for consanguinity in the direct line (parents, grandparents, children, grandchildren, etc.) or for Raymond Leo Cardinal Burke, International Director Marian Catechist Apostolate P.O. Box 637, La Crosse, WI 54602-0637 608-782-0011 www.MarianCatechist.com

<sup>2</sup>nd degree in the collateral line (brother-sister). The Ordinary can dispense from <sup>3</sup>rd degree (uncle-niece) or <sup>4</sup>th degree (<sup>1</sup>st cousin) impediments of the collateral line.

<sup>11</sup> In the Eastern churches affinity in the second degree of the collateral line is an impediment to marriage. Therefore, a woman could not marry her deceased husband's brother.

Dispensations from impediments must be examined and weighed in each case. The most familiar is a dispensation for a disparity of worship (cult) marriage. Without such dispensation, the marriage is invalid.

### C. Canonical Form

For all Catholic marriages there is a third requirement which is obligatory, that of canonical form. For a Catholic to validly enter into marriage, he or she must follow the proper *canonical form*. In virtue of Canon Law for Latin Rite Catholic marriages, whenever a Catholic wishes to marry, he must do so before his parish priest or his Bishop, or a priest, deacon or lay person delegated by either of them who receives the consent of the parties in the name of the Church, and also before two witnesses (canons 1108-1112, 1116). (Eastern Catholic Canon Law contains slightly different requirements.) Unless these conditions are fulfilled, the marriage is not valid in the eyes of the Church. The two witnesses must be able to see what is said and done at the wedding, and thus testify to the contracting of the marriage. Together with the assisting priest, they have to be physically present when the matrimonial consent is expressed.

Incidentally, the place where the marriage is celebrated is not part of the canonical form and, therefore, does not affect the validity of the marriage. However, the place is still very important and is thus addressed in canons 1118 to 1120.

#### **Who can validly officiate at a marriage in which at least one of the spouses is Catholic?**

As canon 1108 explains, the marriage should be celebrated by the head of the parish or the diocese where at least one of the parties resides because the Catholic's spiritual well-being has been entrusted to the Bishop of the diocese and, even more specifically, to the pastor of the parish where he or she lives. Pastors can delegate to priests and deacons the faculty, even a general one, to assist at marriages within the limits of their own pastoral territory because a priest

cannot validly assist at a Catholic marriage unless he has the necessary faculties to do so. Lay persons can also be delegated to assist at marriages in place of priests or deacons; however, the norms of the Church's law must be observed. Implicit in this grant is the Church's teaching that, in essence, it is the two marrying parties who actually confer the Sacrament on each other.

#### **Who are obliged by this requirement of a priest, deacon or appointed lay person and two witnesses?**

All Catholics, whether baptized in infancy or later on, whether born into the faith or adult converts, and even those who had given up the practice of the faith by falling into heresy or schism, are obliged by this requirement. The injunction holds whether the party they marry is another Catholic, a non-Catholic Christian, or one who is not baptized. There are no exceptions for a Catholic. Catholics must follow canonical form except when there is danger of death, in which case canonical form can be omitted (see canons 1079 and 1116).

As regards to all other non-Catholic persons, baptized or unbaptized, who do not come under the requirement of following the canonical form because they are not marrying a Catholic, the Catholic Church considers their marriages valid, provided they were free to marry and made their contract in some form which the contracting parties recognized as legitimate, such as before a minister or rabbi, a justice of the peace or civil official. Such marriages are not only valid but indissoluble and, where the man and woman are both baptized, also a Sacrament which gives special grace to the contracting parties. It is important to note that marriage enjoys "the favor of the law," which means that all marriages are presumed valid. Consequently, when a doubt exists, the validity of the marriage is to be upheld until the contrary is proven through a judicial procedure of the Church (canon 1060).

## 7. Mixed or Inter-Faith Marriages – Permission and Promises

The present 1983 *Code of Canon Law* is much more lenient regarding mixed (inter-faith) marriages than was the former *Code* of 1917. Among other provisions of the former *Code* were two stipulations:

1. The non-Catholic party shall have given a guarantee to remove all danger of defection from the Catholic Faith on the part of the Catholic party, and both parties shall have given guarantees to baptize and educate all the children in the Catholic faith alone.
2. There exists moral certainty that the guarantees will be fulfilled (canon 1011, §2, no. 3).

The present 1983 *Code* places the duty to preserve his or her Catholic faith and rear all the children Catholic on the Catholic party.

The 1983 *Code* also specifies that the liceity (lawfulness) of a mixed marriage between a Catholic and a baptized non-Catholic requires “the express *permission* of the competent authority” (canon 1124) rather than requiring a *dispensation* for *validity* as in the previous 1917 *Code*. This permission is generally given by the diocesan Bishop, and the Church sees it as a necessity because of the inherent obstacles that exists toward the couple’s full spiritual communion due to differences in their religious beliefs. The Bishop is only to grant his permission if there is “a just and reasonable cause” and certain conditions are fulfilled:

- 1) The Catholic party declares his or her intention to remove all dangers of falling away from the Faith and sincerely promises to do all in his or her power to ensure all offspring are baptized and raised in the Catholic Faith.
- 2) The non-Catholic party, at an appropriate time, has been made aware of the Catholic party’s promises and obligations.

- 3) Both parties are instructed about the purposes and essential properties of marriage (cf. canon 1125).

If, for some reason, permission to marry is not obtained and the Catholic marries a baptized non-Catholic with proper consent, capacity and canonical form, the marriage would be valid but illicit (unlawful).

As previously discussed, when a Catholic wishes to marry an unbaptized person, he or she must obtain a *dispensation* from the diriment impediment of disparity of worship (cult). This particular attention is given to these mixed marriages in order to safeguard the Faith of the Catholic and to ensure the handing on of the Faith to the children.

## 8. Convalidation – help for invalid marriages due to lack of consent, a diriment impediment or neglect of the canonical form

A practical question arises of how to rectify an invalid marriage. *Convalidation* is the canonical procedure for making the marriage valid for those marriages which, from their beginning, were invalid due to flawed consent, the presence of a diriment impediment, or a failure in following canonical form. There are two methods for convalidation: 1) *simple convalidation* and 2) *radical sanation*. Since these are matters of Church law, they directly affect only Catholics and those who marry Catholics.

The phrase, “having a marriage blessed,” is a popular way to refer to convalidations where the marriage comes into “being” in the eyes of God. With these marriages now recognized by God and Holy Mother Church, Catholic spouses, upon receiving the Sacrament of Confession, may now worthily receive the Holy Eucharist.

Let’s look at both methods of convalidation for those marriages with defects in marital consent.

As a rule, lack of valid consent in marriage (whether induced by fear or otherwise) must be supplied in the same way in which it had been previously lacking. Thus, if consent had not been

given internally at the time of the wedding, it would be enough to supply the deficiency internally; but if the want of consent was also recognizable externally, through words, gestures, or general show of reluctance, the renewal would also have to be made externally, and is normally done in the form prescribed for contracting marriage.

When simple convalidation is used to rectify consent, it is a renewal of the consent by one or both parties, without the need to observe again the canonical form unless the form was defective and, of course, any impediment must have ceased or been dispensed from. The renewal of consent may be made in private and without witnesses but “the consent must be a new act of the will” (canon 1157). With this simple convalidation it now becomes a sacramental marriage. In the case of a natural marriage, with one Catholic spouse and one unbaptized spouse, the marriage does not become a sacramental marriage, but the natural marriage is now valid.

When *radical sanation* (*sanatio in radice*) or the “healing at the root” is used, it is a convalidation without the requirement of the renewal of consent (canons 1161-1165). After any impediment has ceased or been dispensed from, the Church’s competent authority grants the radical sanation without the renewal of consent of the parties. In other words, the Church validates the marriage and dispenses the parties from renewing consent externally and publicly, by force of law, the marriage is considered to have been valid from the beginning. In effect, it is a retroactive validation.

Moralists call this “radical healing” because it now heals (by making valid) the marital consent (or root of matrimony) which was previously missing, so that the contract becomes valid and the benefits consequent on marriage may follow. Normally only the Holy See has authority to give a *sanatio*, but the Bishops also share in this power by delegation from Rome. Several conditions must be fulfilled, however, for such a “healing” to take place:

1. There must be a grave reason, as happens in the rare case where one or both parties cannot be asked (or induced) to express consent in the way required by the Church, or, in the still rarer case where neither party can be told of the invalidity of their previous marriage without great harm to themselves or others.
2. The invalidity of the contract must have come from a diriment (nullifying) impediment of Church (not divine) law or lack of form, (i.e., failure to marry before an authorized priest and two witnesses) or lack of free consent. We must point out that this privilege of “healing” for lack of valid consent does not apply to people whose impediment to a valid marriage was an existing marital bond, i.e., a previous valid marriage.
3. And finally there must be a continuation of matrimonial consent, for the obvious reason that if either or both parties never agreed to live as man and wife (or withdrew this intention), the Church cannot now step in and tell them they are married. Their deliberate agreement to be married cannot be supplied by anyone, not even by the Church.

### 9. Children born in invalid marriages

An invalid marriage is said to be “putative” if it has been celebrated in good faith by at least one party. Children who are conceived or born of a putative marriage are legitimate. *Legitimate* children, as defined by the *Code of Canon Law*, are children born at least 180 days after the date the marriage was celebrated, or within 300 days from the date of the dissolution of conjugal life. It is important to note that *illegitimate* children are *legitimated* by the subsequent marriage of their parents, whether the marriage is valid or putative, or by a rescript of the Roman Pontiff (see canons 1061, §3; 1137-1140).

### 10. Prenuptial Instructions

The Church asks that persons who are about to be married be properly instructed in three areas of their new state of life:

- 1) on the holiness of the Sacrament of Matrimony,
- 2) their mutual obligations as husband and wife, and
- 3) their obligations to the children born of the marriage.

Since a valid marriage between baptized persons is a Sacrament, it is important to review what is a Sacrament. A Sacrament is defined as a sensible sign instituted by Christ to give grace. Christ Himself works through the Sacrament to confer distinctive graces upon the souls of the married spouses. Since the Sacrament of Matrimony is a Sacrament of the Living, it is efficacious or fruitful only when one's soul is in the state of grace. Importantly, the state of the soul does not determine whether a marriage is valid and sacramental. On the contrary, sacramental marriage is received validly even when one or both parties is in the state of sin. Such a person is really married but he does not have access to the graces associated with the Sacrament until the state of grace is recovered through sacramental Confession. Therefore, to prepare for the fruitful reception of the Sacrament of Matrimony, it is appropriate that both bride and groom (those whom are Catholic) receive the Sacrament of Penance before their wedding. In addition, if either of the parties has not been confirmed, the Sacrament of Confirmation should be received, if possible, before they are admitted to marriage (canon 1065). There are many reasons why Confirmation should be received before marriage. Among these is the fact that Confirmation strengthens the supernatural life. If there is anything that married people need, it is supernatural fortitude:

- The fortitude to undertake difficult responsibilities; and
- The fortitude to endure patiently the trials that God may send to the married spouses.

The sacramental character of marriage is at once a source of inspiration and a challenge to generosity for Catholics who understand the implications of their faith. Not unlike the

Sacrament of Holy Orders, Matrimony was instituted by Christ to provide for the social needs of the Mystical Body. "Through matrimony, in which the contracting parties are ministers of grace to each other, provision is made for the external and duly regulated increase of Christian society, and, what is of greater importance, for the proper religious training of children, without which the Mystical Body would be in grave danger" (Pius XII, *On the Mystical Body of Christ, *Mystici Corporis Christi*, #20*).

Viewed in this light, marriage takes on a new significance. Catholics are men and women who belong to a supernatural society and so the procreation of children for them means far more than merely conserving the human race and providing for its orderly development; it means the obligation to preserve and increase membership in the Mystical Body. All the laws that nature has implanted in the two sexes to insure the welfare of mankind are sublimated by grace in the faithful for the common benefit of Christ's Church. Where natural instinct makes the two sexes attractive to each other, grace provides for a similar attraction to a higher level so that children will not only be physically brought into the world but might also be spiritually reborn in Baptism, educated in the Catholic faith, and nurtured into vital cells of the Body of Christ.

Hence, the Church's concern is for marriages within the Faith, experience proves how difficult it is for parents, who are biologically able to maintain the human species, to do the same for the mystical species of God's Kingdom if they are incompatible on religious grounds. For married Catholics, therefore, the command to increase and multiply is not only a precept of nature but also a command of revelation:

- of nature to cooperate with God in bringing human beings into the world; and
- of revelation to collaborate with the Holy Spirit in rearing members for the Mystical Body on earth and for the beatific vision after death.

Consequently, any interference by Catholics with the laws of procreation is doubly reprehensible:

- 1) for refusing to cooperate with God in His function of creating souls to infuse them in human bodies, and
- 2) for resisting His love in its desire to prepare new souls for the Kingdom of Heaven.

The mutual obligation of husband and wife thus becomes more than elevated common sense to help them get along nicely and avoid marital friction. They are duties born of a new social entity into which they have entered the Church of Christ, which depends absolutely on a harmonious partnership of its cells, in order that grace might flow effectively from the Head to the members. Any dissension within the cellular units is really discord in the Mystical Body – a disease or malfunction that inhibits the growth and well-being of that organism which God became man to establish. By Divine Providence, the cells of life that make up the Church are really pairs, mainly men and women who have vowed lifelong fidelity to each other; in the degree to which this fidelity is kept, will the Church be spiritually sound. Unless the married laity are strong in their family lives, the superstructure of Catholicism becomes a sham.

In the same way, Catholic parents assume duties towards their children that stem from something deeper than natural instinct or the exigencies of family life. On the premise that Baptism incorporates a child into the Body of Christ, his education and nurturing are actually means of sustaining and developing that Body in its human elements in a way that would not be possible without parental cooperation. Too often people suppose that the volitional response to God's grace, which is typical of the Catholic outlook on life, is no more than training character or shaping human personalities. They forget that these children not only have a soul, but that this soul is itself animated by another principle of life, *sanctifying grace*. Their parents therefore have a twofold task:

- 1) to rear their children along natural lines in all the qualities that prepare them for life in the world; and
- 2) to educate them in things of the Spirit in anticipation of the world to come.

Critics of Catholicism sometimes complain about the essential otherworldliness of the Church's ethic, which can place on their consciences heavy burdens that others, even other Christians, are spared. Catholic education is a case in point. To operate church-affiliated schools calls for self-sacrifice to the point of heroism, and young people getting married are not unaware of this dimension of their faith. They realize that matrimony is God's own way of calling on human beings to help Him redeem the world.

### 11. Choice of a Spouse

Anticipating the proximate preparation for a happy marriage is the care that young people should exercise in choosing their life's spouse. So much depends on the kind of person chosen that it is impossible to exaggerate its consequences or stress too much the prudence and wisdom needed to avoid making mistakes. "We were not meant for each other," is a favorite excuse for couples who may find out in the first month of their marriage how little they really knew about the other, and what a risk they were taking in following "love at first sight" or mere infatuation.

Time and again the Church warns Catholics to carefully deliberate in choosing the person with whom they are to live in great intimacy until death.

"They should in so deliberating, keep before their minds first the thought of God and of the true religion of Christ, then of themselves, of their partner, of the children to come, as also of human and civil society, of which wedlock is the fountainhead. Let them diligently pray for divine help, so that they may make their choice in accordance with Christian prudence, not led by the blind and unrestrained impulse of passion, nor by any desire for wealth or other base motive, but by a true and noble love and

by a sincere affection for the future partner. Lastly they should ask the prudent advice of their parents regarding the person they plan to marry. Let them take this advice seriously, so as to be guided by the mature knowledge and experience of father and mother and receive on the threshold of marriage an increase of those blessings which God has promised to those who honor their parents” (Pius XI, On Christian Marriage, *Casti Connubii*, #115).

The wishes of parents are to be respected and seriously considered. But once children have reached maturity, they are not obliged to obey their parents regarding the choice of a spouse. Cultures differ immensely in their understanding of the role of parents for directing the marriage of their children. But at heart, the crucial factor is *true internal freedom* of the marrying parties. Being advised and counselled by the parents is one thing. But anything like coercion endangers the personal freedom that belongs to the essence of a marriage as a valid covenant between those who marry.

With that said, Americans have something to learn from the customs of other nations, where parents and relatives have more than consultative voice in the choice of their children's husbands and wives. This is not to advocate extremes of "planned marriages," nor even to suggest that men and women should be restricted in their liberty of choosing a spouse; but disastrous unions of thousands of incompatible personalities argue to indiscretion which might have been averted if only someone who knew both parties had been consulted beforehand. It is remarkable how emotions can obscure judgment when two people are in love, and how much a bit of foresight, along with prayer and sound advice can clarify the mind and not place an almost miraculous burden on divine grace to make up for the imprudence of romantic love.

Copyright © 2004, 2019 by Inter Mirifica

**Table of Contents**

1. Marriage..... 41

2. Nature of Marriage..... 41

    Free and firm act of the will ..... 42

    Sacred contract ..... 42

3. Marriage Raised to the level of a Sacrament ..... 42

    Sacred Scripture and Sacred Tradition..... 43

4. The “Ends” of Marriage ..... 44

    1. Procreation, Care & Education of Children..... 45

    Care and Education..... 46

        ROLE OF MOTHERS ..... 46

        ROLE OF FATHERS ..... 47

    2. Mutual Love ..... 49

        Husband is the Head, Wife is the Heart of the Family..... 50

        Regulated Satisfaction of the Sex Urge & Marital Rights..... 51

5. The “Goods” of Marriage..... 52

    Unity of Marriage..... 52

    Indissolubility of Marriage..... 53

    Pauline and Petrine Privileges ..... 55

        MARRIAGE OF TWO BAPTIZED SPOUSES . 55

        MARRIAGE OF TWO NON-BAPTIZED SPOUSES..... 55

        MARRIAGE OF ONE NON-BAPTIZED AND ONE BAPTIZED SPOUSE..... 55

    Polygamy ..... 56

    Separation and Divorce..... 57

6. Consent, Capacity, Canonical Form..... 57

    A. Consent - Matrimonial Sacred Contract ..... 57

        THE MARRIAGE BOND..... 58

        CONTRACEPTION ..... 60

        DEFECT OF VALID CONSENT..... 61

    B. Capacity..... 62

        Impediments Causing Lack of Capacity to Marry ..... 62

        Divine and Church Law ..... 63

        Diriment (Invalidating) Impediments..... 64

        Dispensations from Impediments to Marriage.... 66

    C. Canonical Form..... 67

        Who Can Validly Officiate at a Marriage in Which at Least One of the Spouses is Catholic?..... 67

        Who are Obligated by this Requirement of a Priest, Deacon or Appointed Lay Person and Two Witnesses?..... 67

7. Mixed or Inter-Faith Marriages – Permission and Promises..... 68

8. Convalidation – help for invalid marriages due to lack of consent, a diriment impediment or neglect of the canonical form..... 68

9. Children born in invalid marriages..... 69

10. Prenuptial Instructions..... 69

11. Choice of a Spouse..... 71